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Executive Summary

Nevada’s Interagency Council on Homelessness was established via Executive Order 2013-20 to coordinate and focus the State's efforts to effectively address the challenge of homelessness in the State of Nevada. The Council provides the opportunity for Nevada to engage in an integrated approach regarding the issue of homelessness and promote interagency cooperation. The Council works to increase the awareness of homeless issues among state and local government agencies and local organizations that provide services to people who are homeless.

Mission

The mission of the Nevada’s Governor’s Interagency Council on Homelessness is to lead Nevada’s efforts to prevent and end homelessness.

Values

Nevada has a common set of values it shares with federal, state and local jurisdictions:
- Every person matters and deserves to be treated with dignity and respect.
- Homelessness is unacceptable.
- Homelessness can be prevented.
- Homelessness is expensive; it is better to invest in solutions.
- Homelessness is solvable; we have learned a lot about what works.
- There is strength in collaboration.

Nevada uses guiding principles shared with the Dedicating Opportunities to End Homelessness (DOEH) initiative, a joint effort between the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) and the United States Interagency Council on Homelessness (USICH). These guiding principles include:

- Coordinating Across Partners
- Community-led Action
- Data-driven Achievable Strategies and Goals
- Making Commitments and Measuring Results
- Leveraging Existing and Untapped Resources
- Removing Barriers
- Targeting Priority Populations

The Council created a strategic planning subcommittee during their first meeting in September 2014. The subcommittee was charged with establishing a strategic plan for the Council. The strategic plan subcommittee met bi-weekly to develop the strategic plan template, mission, vision, values, and needs assessment content. The plan was presented to the Council at the November 2014 and January 2015 meetings for approval and direction. A final version was presented and adopted during the June 2015 Council meeting.
The ICH identified eight strategic issues facing the state through an analysis of statewide data. Strategic issues include both fundamental policy choices and critical challenges that must be addressed in order for the ICH to achieve its vision. The ICH reviewed the goals and strategies of the federal strategic plan to end homelessness, Opening Doors, and chose to integrate components into the statewide plan. The strategic issues to be addressed by this plan are as follows:

**Strategic Issue #1 Housing**

At its root, homelessness is the result of the inability to afford and maintain housing. Two trends are largely responsible for the rise in homelessness: a growing shortage of affordable housing and an increase in poverty. Proven housing-based policies include federal housing assistance which includes public housing and federal housing vouchers, permanent supportive housing which combines affordable housing assistance with supportive services, and Housing First an approach to ending homelessness that centers on providing people experiencing homelessness with housing as quickly as possible – and then providing services as needed. This approach has the benefit of being consistent with what most people experiencing homelessness want and seek help to achieve.

"Homelessness is not the simplest problem, but it is also not that complicated. Housing ends homelessness. It also helps people get on with all the other things that will allow them to achieve well-being and self-fulfillment. The first step is ending homelessness. The solutions to homelessness are not all that complex but implementing them can be. Political will, your skill and resources are what is needed." (NAEH, 2016b).

Housing First programs share critical elements:

- A focus on helping individuals and families access and sustain permanent rental housing as quickly as possible without time limits;
- A variety of services delivered to promote housing stability and individual well-being on an as-needed basis; and
- A standard lease agreement to housing – as opposed to mandated therapy or services compliance.

The vast majority of homeless individuals and families initially fall into homelessness after a housing or personal crisis that led them to seek help from the homeless assistance system. For these families and individuals, the Housing First approach is ideal, as it provides them with assistance to find permanent housing quickly and without conditions. In turn, such clients of the homeless assistance networks need surprisingly little support or assistance to achieve independence, saving the system considerable costs (National Alliance to End Homelessness, 2016).

Making housing stability the center of a homelessness system helps bring other mainstream resources to bear, including benefits and cash assistance, supportive services, housing assistance, health care, job training, and food and nutrition services. This emphasis helps spread the responsibility of preventing and ending homelessness across the community, and not just leaving it as the charge of homelessness assistance providers and shelters.
The data on the average life expectancy of people experiencing homelessness is around fifty years of age, twenty years lower than their housed counterparts (National Coalition for the Homeless, 2018). Furthermore, people experiencing homelessness are vulnerable to violence and chronic illness, housing is healthcare. Housing First is a low barrier model that is person-centered and does not place mandates on individuals to engage in programming, services, and sobriety to access housing, yet makes supportive services accessible when the individual is ready to engage (National Alliance to End Homelessness, 2016a). Housing First is recognized as a national goal by the United States Interagency Council on Homelessness (2018). For Housing First programs to be successful, an integrated and collaborative network of providers is required to work comprehensively and holistically—from street outreach, emergency shelters, data management, housing providers, community leaders, and policies and regulations.

In addition, communities who have embraced innovative housing solutions such as sanctioned safe encampments, tiny homes, Conestoga huts, pallet shelters, and container homes have shown some success at housing individuals who may not readily adopt or have barriers to accessing more traditional forms of emergency shelter and permanent housing. It is important to note that these innovations should be considered interim solutions, the goal is to end homelessness by providing pathways to permanent housing solutions.

The Center for Evidence-based Solutions to Homelessness (n.d.) notes, "Whatever the policy, the data tells us that a collaborative, thoughtful approach to supporting encampment residents will result in more people finding housing, even if that response includes clearing encampments. To have that level of collaboration, solutions need to be developed locally, responsive to the specific needs of each community while maximizing and adapting the resources that are available. A reactionary approach that fails to address the needs of people experiencing homelessness—and disperses this highly vulnerable population without a follow-up plan—makes the challenge that much harder to resolve."

Homelessness is expensive. Hospitalization, medical treatment, incarceration, police intervention, and emergency shelter expenses can add up quickly, making homelessness surprisingly expensive for municipalities and taxpayers. People experiencing homelessness are more likely to access the most costly health care services. Homelessness both causes and results from serious health care issues, including addiction, psychological disorders, HIV/AIDS, and a host of order ailments that require long-term, consistent care. Homelessness inhibits this care, as housing instability often detracts from regular medical attention, access to treatment, and recuperation. This inability to treat medical problems can aggravate these problems, making them both more dangerous and more costly. Studies have shown that providing people experiencing chronic homelessness with permanent supportive housing saves money (Coalition for the Homeless, n.d.)

The transformation to a housing stability approach builds on research and successful community practices, which demonstrate that focusing resources on quickly stabilizing people in housing diminishes the chaos in their lives and enables programs to address their clients’ longer-term service needs. While shelter is a critical form of emergency assistance, it should only be used for crisis. Focusing on housing stability affords greater opportunity for the homelessness assistance and mainstream systems to succeed. Making housing stability the center of a homelessness system helps bring other mainstream resources to bear, including benefits and cash assistance, supportive services, housing assistance, health care, job training, and food and nutrition services (USICH, 2019).
Strategic Issue #2 Homelessness Prevention and Intervention

Communities throughout Nevada work tirelessly to offer a range of activities to prevent homelessness. The most widespread activities provide assistance to avert housing loss for households facing eviction.

Housing Problem Solving is a key lever in reducing the flow in the homeless service system. Drawing upon natural support networks, individual and community strengths, and community resources can assist individuals in locating appropriate housing. Prevention, diversion, and rapid exit strategies are essential to systems of coordinated entry and offer potential housing pathways. Additionally, homelessness prevention, diversion, and rapid exit are outcomes of the same intervention or services that are provided to an individual or family at different periods of time in their housing crisis utilizing the housing problem-solving conversation.

Prevention—preventing a housing crisis from occurring by providing resources to individuals and families in an effort to maintain their existing housing situation;

Diversion—assisting with locating alternative housing options to prevent them from entering the homelessness service system; and

Rapid exit strategies—providing housing services as quickly as possible to resolve their episode of homelessness.

Sustainable, flexible resources are necessary to ensure creative housing problem-solving solutions and subsequent interventions are supported so they can significantly prevent and reduce homelessness in our communities.

Strategic Issue #3 Wraparound Services

There is a significant need for the funding and provision of wraparound services for people experiencing homelessness in Nevada. Wraparound services provide people experiencing homelessness and families with a number of services they may need to stabilize their lives. The most successful approach to ending homelessness is to combine person-centered, client-driven, wraparound services with permanent housing.

Wraparound is an intensive, holistic method of engaging with individuals with complex needs (most typically children, youth, and their families) so that they can live in their homes and communities and realize their hopes and dreams. Since the term was first coined in the 1980s, “wraparound” has been defined in different ways. It has been described as a philosophy, an approach, and a service. In recent years, wraparound has been most commonly conceived of as an intensive, individualized care planning and management process. Wraparound is not a treatment per se. The wraparound process aims to achieve positive outcomes by providing a structured, creative and individualized team planning process that, compared to traditional treatment planning, results in plans that are more effective and more relevant to the child and family.

Wraparound is a key component to the Housing First model. Without providing all necessary resources and supports, permanent housing and Housing First are not nearly as effective. One key to ensuring that wraparound is provided is to ensure there is sufficient case management staff. One known issue in Nevada is the lack of service providers and case managers to support the homeless population. In addition, there are a lack of services for special populations that are
particularly vulnerable as evidenced by Continuum of Care data. For example, experiencing homelessness exacerbates health problems and the ability to access appropriate care. Residential instability and insecurity, including doubling up and overcrowding, creates substantial risks to child health, development, and educational outcomes. Housing instability and living in lower socioeconomic neighborhoods can lead to significant stress, mental health problems, obesity, and diabetes. Patients with multiple and chronic health needs often find navigating a complex and fragmented healthcare system overwhelming, making wraparound supportive services an essential component of linking health care, human services, and housing.

There are limited resources to provide services to those who are most in need; many communities have adopted a paradigm shift to the utilization of progressive engagement case management approach. Progressive Engagement is an approach to helping households end their homelessness as rapidly as possible, despite barriers, with minimal financial and support resources. More supports are offered to those households who struggle to stabilize and cannot maintain their housing without assistance. Progressive Engagement recognizes that each household has strengths and needs that change over time. Each person/household experiencing homelessness faces different needs and obstacles. Progressive Engagement targets resources to the individualized client-centered needs and flexes up supports as greater needs are identified. Thus, allowing for the most intensive - and costly – resources to remain available to those with the greatest needs.

Supplemental Security Income (SSI)/Social Security Disability Insurance (SSDI) Outreach, Access and Recovery (SOAR) is one wraparound strategy to help states increase access to mainstream benefits. SOAR is an effective strategy to assist people experiencing homelessness with a disability to access benefits expeditiously. Nevada previously benefited from a Statewide SOAR Coordinator, however, currently there are no trainings or coordination of data (including claim approval data). Best practices indicate that dedicated SOAR case managers yield optimal outcomes in benefit acquisition for clients.

Additionally, peer recovery support services are a nationally recognized evidence-based practice in which people with lived experience in recovery from a substance use, mental health, or co-occurring disorder assist others who are seeking or in recovery (SAMHSA, 2020). In Nevada, peer recovery support specialists (PRSS) are trained and certified to national standards of peer support. Many PRSSs have personally experienced homelessness and are a natural fit for careers helping others within homeless services. Hiring people with lived experience to be part of wraparound services empowers others and offers hope that people can exit homelessness.

**Strategic Issue #4 Education and Workforce Development**

Education and workforce support are a key component of the service array necessary to move people out of homelessness and into financial and housing stability. This includes basic life skills training, early childhood education, workforce development and redevelopment, education completion and continuation.

Life skills are the skills that many people take for granted, like managing money, shopping, cooking, running a home and maintaining social networks. They are essential for living independently. Some people experiencing homelessness do not have all of these skills, either because they never acquired them or because they lost them through extended periods of homelessness. Helping people experiencing homelessness acquire life skills can help them recover from homelessness and transition back into the community. Life skills training is different from
support, help, or assistance in that the aim is to promote self-sufficiency.

Many factors combine to force so many to subsist without permanent housing, and too often without even basic shelter. Not only is there a shortage of affordable housing, but also wage and public benefits often yield incomes insufficient to obtain and maintain housing while simultaneously meeting the high costs of health care, childcare, and other support services. Although some people who experience homelessness are employed, they have jobs that pay wages too low to afford permanent housing. Others are not working due to job loss, child-caretaking responsibilities, age, disability, trauma, incomplete education or insufficient occupational skills (National Coalition for the Homeless, 2009b).

Ending homelessness is virtually impossible for those without income. For those with limited skills or experience, opportunities for jobs that pay a living wage are very limited. Additionally, many members of the homeless population must combat barriers such as limited transportation and reduced access to educational and training programs (Long, Rio, & Rosen, 2007). Furthermore, many struggles with holding on to or regaining access to documents such as birth certificates, state identification, and social security cards. In such a competitive environment, the difficulties of job seeking as a person experiencing homelessness can be almost insurmountable barriers to employment.

According to the Washoe County School District's Children in Transition (CIT) program, there were 2,786 students experiencing homelessness and/or housing instability identified in the 2018-2019 school year and 2,550 in 2019-2020. The COVID-19 pandemic has impacted CIT's ability to locate students, as the numbers reflect 1,888 students identified in 2020-2021 and 640 students identified (so far, between 7/1/21 and 8/25/21). Clark County School District Title I HOPE program had 13,844 students experiencing homeless and/or housing stability in the 2018-2019 school year and 13,020 in 2019-2020, with preliminary data indicating 10,586 for the 2020-2021 school year. It must be noted that like Washoe County, Clark has also been impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic in locating students. (added my MFH 9/3/21) We have yet to understand the full impact the pandemic will bear upon children experiencing homelessness or at risk of homelessness. (added by Lisa Lee 8/25/21),

During the COVID-19 pandemic, many individuals experienced distress related to financial insecurity and isolation. Many initiated or returned to alcohol and substance use or engaged in riskier use, and depression and anxiety increased (Schencker, 2021; The Standard, 2020). Subsequently, as people return to the workforce, workplaces may struggle with workers’ addictions and mental health. Nevada has long struggled with behavioral health, Nevada ranked 51st in the nation for behavioral health in the Mental Health America (n.d.) 2020 State of Mental Health in America report. Additionally, the shift in the drug supply during the pandemic, mixed with distress and substance use has elevated risk of fatal overdose (United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime, n.d.). Increasing access to evidence-based behavioral health treatment, medication-assisted treatment, and recovery supports will be essential to supporting people experiencing homelessness in returning to the workforce, as well as supporting workplaces in retaining workers. Additionally, Nevada is expected to be a minority-majority state by 2023 and racial justice as well as providing culturally and linguistically appropriate services needs to be prioritized. (added by Lisa Lee 8/19/21)

Success in school and being a part of the workforce begin early. Over 50 percent of children living in federally funded homeless shelters are under the age of 5. Infants, toddlers and preschoolers who are experiencing homelessness are at grave risk of developmental delays due to a variety of physical and mental health factors such as a lack of prenatal and early health care, crowded and unsanitary living conditions, environmental contaminants like lead, and the trauma
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caused by severe poverty and instability. Quality early education for children under the age of 5 who are homeless is essential, as well as educational and social supports which act as protective factors to alleviate life-long issues associated with adverse childhood experiences (ACEs) (Fortson, Klevens, Merrick, Gilbert, & Alexander, 2016).

Many families who are homeless have difficulty accessing education and training programs. Lack of transportation and access to phones, email, and a reliable mailing address are among the challenges. Additionally, some homeless shelters require residents to be on the premises during certain hours which may not coincide with the requirements of a training program or job. Lack of childcare is another large barrier to entering a job training program; parents who are homeless often do not have a reliable place to leave their children during the day. Families experiencing homelessness often have limited access to technology which impedes searching for, applying for, and maintaining employment. Additionally, the Workforce Investment Act (WIA) holds states, communities, and service providers accountable for performance measures, such as success rates in placing people in jobs and improving earnings. This may discourage them from reaching out to hard to serve populations who may need more supports to find employment (National Coalition for the Homeless, 2009b).

The pandemic illuminated the reality of many women in the labor force as women struggled to juggle employment and caretaking obligations during the shutdown and were disproportionately affected by the shutdown. Karageorge (2020) states, "15 million single mothers in the United States will be the most severely affected." Additionally, the gender pay gap, especially for BIPOC women, continues to be a barrier for many families to achieving housing equity. Gender and race should be considered in policy and funding priorities for women and families at risk or experiencing homelessness and additional resources should be available to mitigate these barriers.

Strategic Issue #5 Coordination of Primary and Behavioral Health

Higher incidence, prevalence, and acuity of medical and behavioral problems among people who are homeless requires the availability of comprehensive medical and behavioral health services. Limited access to mental health specialists, stigma associated with mental illness, and negative health outcomes related to undiagnosed or untreated behavioral disorders make it incumbent on primary care providers to address their patients’ mental health needs.

Traditionally, primary care, mental health care, and addictions treatment have been provided by different programs in various agencies scattered throughout the community. People who are homeless—particularly those with mental illnesses and co-occurring substance use disorders—have difficulty navigating these multiple service systems. Lack of time, training, experience, and resources makes fully integrated primary and behavioral health care difficult to accomplish in primary care settings. Referrals can also be problematic for indigent patients. Because of the number of barriers, the coordination of primary and behavioral health is an area of concern in Nevada.

The COVID-19 pandemic created an environment that necessitated collaboration in new and unique ways across the state, one of which includes the prioritization for housing of those who are at high risk for COVID-19 based on CDC guidelines. It is recommended that continued assessment and evaluation of medical and behavioral health risks be considered for coordinated entry and housing prioritization for the foreseeable future.
Nevada has the unique position of not only having strong Continua of Care who have diverse stakeholder groups and have strong collaboration with each other; it also has Regional Behavioral Health Policy Boards. Thus, providing strategic collaborative opportunities for the coordination of primary and behavioral health services to be connected with housing for those experiencing a housing crisis. Further, Nevada can leverage Medicaid managed care organizations (MCOs) to provide housing options for medically fragile members who are experiencing homelessness.

According to the National Alliance to End Homelessness (2021), there were 580,466 people experiencing homelessness in the 2020 Point in Time Count. The populations most at risk are explicitly tied to race, ethnicity, and gender, which also intersects with health outcomes. HUD (2021) states, "people of color are significantly over-represented among people experiencing homelessness." People with disabilities who are experiencing chronic homelessness account for 19 percent of the homeless population (NAEH, 2021). People living with behavioral health conditions are more susceptible to homelessness and vulnerability and homelessness exacerbates mental health disorders and substance use. The opioid crisis has disproportionately impacted people experiencing homelessness who face a greater risk of drug related harms such as soft tissue infections, HIV/AIDS, hepatitis C, and fatal overdose. People experiencing homelessness have a three to six times higher prevalence of chronic conditions than the general population (NAEH, n.d.).

When housing is a platform, people with a substance abuse disorder who are experiencing homelessness have the opportunity to engage in treatment fully without the additional stress of living on the streets. Housing stability is a key contributor to long-term recovery and reduces relapse for people who are homeless. For chronically homeless people, the intervention of permanent supportive housing provides stable housing coupled with supportive services as needed – a cost-effective solution to homelessness for those with the most severe health, mental health and substance abuse challenges. (NAEH, n.d.).

Medically fragile is defined as a chronic physical condition which results in a prolonged dependency on medical care for which daily skilled (nursing) intervention is medically necessary. Many individuals who are medically fragile are accessing hospital services for primary care. Although their medical needs are not deemed acute enough to need more intensive care, they require long-term home care. Although their medical needs are not deemed acute enough to need more intensive care, they require long-term home care. An individual can be considered medically fragile if:

1. A physician specified that the patient is not suitable for a shelter based on medical condition.

2. There is a life-threatening condition characterized by a reasonably frequent period of acute exacerbation, which requires frequent medical supervision, and/or physician consultation, and which in the absence of such supervision or consultation, would require hospitalization.

3. The individual requires frequent time-consuming administration of specialized treatments, which are medically necessary.

4. The individual is dependent on medical technology such that without the technology, a reasonable level of health could not be maintained. Examples include but are not limited to intravenous therapy, wound care, enteral or parenteral nutrition support, feeding tube. (Clark
Medically fragile individuals are often discharged from medical settings to the streets or to emergency shelters. Unsheltered and sheltered homelessness present a safety and health concern to medically fragile individuals. Services such as medical respite and skilled nursing facilities continue to be a critical gap in the service continuum.

**Strategic Issue #6 Coordination of Data and Resources**

A homeless management information system (HMIS) is a local information technology system used to collect client-level data and data on the provision of housing and services to homeless individuals and families and persons at risk of homelessness. HMIS can help provide a consistent and accurate snapshot of a region’s homeless population, including a population count, information on service use, and a measurement of the effectiveness of homeless programs, as HMIS also helps track the number of chronically homeless clients and placements into permanent housing. This information can have important impacts on policy at the federal, state, and local levels.

Although HMIS is utilized by the three regional Continua of Care (CoCs) in Nevada, there is still a need for coordination of data and resources that are available to the homeless. Currently, most communities have fragmented systems for determining what kind of assistance people will receive when they become homeless. Much depends on where a person initially seeks help, which programs have open slots, and the specific eligibility criteria of different programs. In addition, there are a number of efforts underway across the country and the state that can impact how resources are deployed. Identifying and tracking new resources or changes to resources is essential to ensuring interagency collaboration and coordination. Fragmentation leads to inefficiency, because people with the highest level of need do not necessarily get directed to the most intensive programs, or those programs end up with longer waiting lists.

Coordinated Entry is key to successful housing programs and allows the community to prioritize and house those who are most vulnerable. Coordinated Entry can enhance the quality of client screening and assessment, and better target program assistance to where it can be most effective. As a result, the system for preventing and ending homelessness is less fragmented and scarce resources are used more efficiently. Coordinated Entry provides streamlined access to the homeless services system thereby allowing households facing housing loss to quickly access the services they need and for which they are eligible without having to call multiple social service programs.

Throughout the state there are various systems of care that serve those who are at risk of, or are experiencing homelessness, most of whom use different data systems. Within the state of Nevada the inability to disaggregate data prevents providers and policy makers from identifying disparate impacts of programs and providing services to different populations in a variety of areas including physical and behavioral health, education, criminal justice, workforce, and housing. Whenever possible, agencies should be working collaboratively to integrate or share their data in order to provide services to those in need in the most efficient, expeditious manner as possible.

The ICH should support and expand the efforts to map and integrate data across all systems of care that serve low-income clients in order to provide a more comprehensive, coordinated, client-centered, whole-person, system of care in Nevada. This would provide a means to gather information that could be used by ICH, CoCs, Mayor's Challenges to End Veterans Homelessness
and other entities to use for purposes of obtaining funding and ensuring that all agencies are working together to assist in reducing homelessness. This includes homeless seniors, Veterans, racial demographics, etc. Most state agencies work with federal partners. Grants may be available for the purpose of eliminating homelessness with an emphasis on a specific demographic, i.e., women Veterans or Native American Veterans.

**Strategic Issue #7 Policies**

Partnerships and collaboration in communities cannot go the whole distance to end homelessness. In an era of strained public budgets across all layers of government, effective interagency coordination is required to make progress on ending homelessness. Leadership and improved cooperation at the state level is needed to streamline and target resources to achieve a shared goal of re-housing people and offering the right amount of the right type of interventions to keep people housed.

Policies that can impact homelessness include addressing discharge planning and practices from state institutions or systems including prisons, hospitals and foster care, strategically allocating resources to prevent and end homelessness, promoting the sharing of data to quantify the issue and unmet need and measure progress over time, removing barriers to securing housing because of past substance use or criminal record, ensuring coordination of services and supports across state agencies, promotion of livable wage for the community in which people reside, streamlining application processes for mainstream resources, and promoting prevention activities based on risk. Because of the impact it has on homelessness, policies are a key factor in successfully implementing the strategic plan.

In the 2019 Annual Homeless Assessment Report (AHAR), African Americans have remained considerably overrepresented among the homeless population compared to the U.S. population. African Americans accounted for 40 percent of all people experiencing homelessness in 2019 and 52 percent of people experiencing homelessness as members of families with children, despite being 13 percent of the U.S. population. In contrast, 48 percent of all people experiencing homelessness were white compared with 77 percent of the U.S. population. People identifying as Hispanic or Latino (who can be of any race) are about 22 percent of the homeless population but only 18 percent of the population overall (HUD Exchange, n.d.).

As of January 2020, Nevada had an estimated 6,900 experiencing homelessness on any given day, as reported by Continuums of Care to the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD). Of that Total, 159 were family households, 924 were Veterans, 570 were unaccompanied young adults (aged 18-24), and 1,369 were individuals experiencing chronic homelessness. Black/African Americans account for 27% of the all people experiencing homelessness in Nevada despite being 9% of the general population. Likewise, Native Americans and Pacific Islanders each experience homelessness at a rate of 2% of the homeless population versus representing 1% each of the general state population. Conversely, persons identifying as the Hispanic/Latinx (15%) and Asian (2%) experience homelessness at lower rates than the general population (29% and 8% respectively). Statewide, those identifying as white experienced homelessness just slightly lower than the general population at 64% percent versus 66%.

It is our moral responsibility to ensure racial inequities are addressed within homeless programs and that all prevention and services needed by persons experiencing a housing crisis, including those who have been long term homeless, have equal access regardless of race and ethnicity. Resources and education for service providers is critical to effectively achieve this goal.
The need for affordable housing, particularly for those on the lower end of the economic spectrum at 30% AMI (Extremely Low-Income) and below, has never been more acute. According to the National Low Income Housing Coalition, 21% of all renters in Nevada are Extremely Low Income (at or below 30% AMI) with 81% of those renters severely rent burdened, meaning they are spending more than 50% of their monthly income on housing (National Low Income Housing Coalition, n.d.). This disparity results in those at this end of the economic spectrum to abandon spending for other essential needs to afford rent, thus exposing themselves to food insecurity, health and safety instability and reduces the capacity to ascend up the economic ladder to self-sufficiency.

The demand for housing in the state continues to escalate at record levels driving both rental and home ownership prices to all-time highs in 2021, while the supply of housing has not increased proportionally to the needs of Nevadans. Apartment rents in both Las Vegas and Reno increased by 15% in the last year and now exceed $1250 per month in Las Vegas and $1450 per month in Reno/Sparks (Rent Café, n.d.a., n.d.b.). In addition, most renters will be required to obtain both first and last month’s rent, along with a substantial cleaning deposit, creating a financial burden of several thousand dollars prior to even moving into an apartment. While this demonstrates the difficulty of navigating our current market rate situation, it should be noted that it is estimated that the state of Nevada needs an additional 85,000-185,000 affordable units in the state and that only 1 household is assisted compared to 4 others in need of affordable housing (State of Nevada, Department of Business & Industry Housing Division, 2021).

In order to achieve some level of success in housing those who are Extremely Low Income, a number of policies will need to be enacted. Using existing affordable housing funding provided by the federal government only begins to scratch the surface of the need for these households. The expansion of the resources must begin on a federal level, increasing the amount of funding used to construct and preserve affordable housing units. The ability to combine these expanded resources with new funding sources coming via the federal government, potentially through American Rescue Plan funds or pending Infrastructure legislation, needs to be prioritized at the state and local level. In addition, local decisions must be made regarding the modification or expansion of zoning to create affordable housing, the land use policies for housing, the creation of transit-oriented communities and the ability of the local jurisdictions to support wrap around services. These decisions need to be made with input from stakeholders and collaboration from existing and new partnerships between the non-profit community, social service agencies, and other federal, state, and community agencies.

It is imperative for the success of an individual or household exiting homelessness that safe, secure, and affordable housing needs to be procured prior to attempts to modify other behaviors or situations which may have had outsized influence in their becoming homeless. This “Housing First” policy has proven to be successful in communities across the country and once an individual or household achieves some level of housing stability, other issues are able to be dealt with accordingly.

It is also the responsibility of the ICH to ensure a complete report of homeless veterans is addressed. Veterans make up about six percent of the population but eight percent of the homeless population. In 2019, there was a 2.1 percent decrease in the number of homeless veterans. From 2014 through 2019, there was a substantial decrease in the number of homeless veterans in Nevada. However, 2020 saw a 27 percent increase over 2019 erasing nearly all the progress made since 2014. 44 percent of these veterans were unsheltered.

While the resolution to end veterans homelessness relies on many of the same resources as all
other homeless Nevadans, it is imperative to ensure we do not neglect our Nevada heroes. HUD/VASH needs to be included in any plan as a valuable resource for our homeless or near-homeless veterans. ICH should ensure that all members of this Council are aware of programs to assist this population and their dependents.

**Strategic Issue #8 Long Term Planning**

To supplement limited funding challenges additional long-term strategies such as leveraging excess public lands, reduce affordable housing development costs by subsidizing fees and reducing review times, incentives for the development of affordable housing and addressing community concerns to dispel myths about affordable housing may be researched and implemented.

Leverage excess public lands: Sell land owned by the city/county to developers exclusively for the development of affordable housing at not more than 10% of the appraised value of the land and require that any such savings, subsidy or reduction in price be passed to the purchaser of housing. Donate land owned by the city/county to a nonprofit organization to be used for the development of affordable housing.

Reduce affordable housing development costs by subsidizing fees and reducing review times: At the expense of the county, as applicable, subsidizing, in whole or in part, impact fees and fees for the issuance of building permits collected pursuant to NRS 278.580.

Use rezoning powers: When developing affordable housing on parcels reserved for that purpose under SNPLMA, Counties should use its rezoning powers to create opportunities for the construction of affordable housing. Counties should work to pre-zone BLM parcels in preparation for the development of the land into affordable housing developments.

Provide incentives for the development of affordable housing: Look at providing incentives for affordable housing such as shared parking opportunities, reduced parking requirements, tax abatements, density bonuses, flexible zoning and fee waivers that could make affordable housing more economically feasible to develop.

Address community concerns to dispel myths about affordable housing: The local governments and/or development trade groups could conduct educational programs to demonstrate the value of affordable housing for the Nevada economy. Such programs should address the concerns of low-income housing advocates and how affordable housing affects these issues. Community groups and public officials should be brought into the discussion.

Long term planning would ensure that Nevada has sufficient resources and is able to sustain them. Long term and sustainability planning is an ongoing process that will be continually evaluated and updated by the ICH. Some considerations for long-term planning are:

- CARES funding showed that we could make a huge difference, but we need a way to sustain.
- Naturally occurring low-income and affordable housing, bring into the restricted world to rehab.
- Expand capacity of nonprofits to manage low-income and affordable housing.
- Expand providers that have the capacity to acquire and manage the naturally occurring low-income and affordable housing.
• Centering the voices of people experiencing homelessness in conversations about ending homelessness.

• Using a framework that is centered on justice, specifically racial, social, and economic justice.

2017-2018 Updates to the Plan

In 2017, the ICH recognized the need to update the strategic plan to better align with the current environment of housing and homelessness in Nevada. While the Council acknowledged that the strategic issues areas were still valid, there were a number of goals that had been completed or had become irrelevant as work in other areas progressed. The ICH also found that several Strategic Issue areas had redundancies and that there was an opportunity to combine goals to address the issue areas.

In two strategic planning sessions conducted in August 2017 and February 2018, the ICH revised their goals and strategies. This resulted in goals under Strategic Issue #7 (Policies) and #8 (Long term planning) to be integrated within the remaining Strategic Issue areas. The revised goals are presented below.
Goals

The following goals when met, will address the strategic issues identified by the ICH.

Strategic Issue #1 – Housing
Goal 1: Preserve the existing affordable and low-income housing stock.

Goal 2: Engage property owners and managers to create pathways to housing that are low-barrier (e.g., not discriminating based on previous justice-involvement, background, mental health status, race/ethnicity, etc.), open to accepting housing vouchers, or involved in a housing program.

Goal 3: Provide the resources and support necessary to further expand and develop the inventory of Extremely low-income (30% or below area median income), Very low income and low income (30-60% area median income) and workforce housing (60-120% of area median income)

Goal 4: Provide support to local communities and Continua of Care to maximize funding opportunities and ensure mainstream resources are leveraged to provide housing programs and supports.

Goal 5: Promote innovative opportunities for use of housing vouchers, such as shared housing, roommates, or multi-family shared housing.

Strategic Issue #2 – Homelessness Prevention and Intervention
Goal 1: Support housing programs and agencies to provide housing problem solving that centers on strategies of homeless prevention, diversion, and rapid exit and timely linkage to appropriate resources.

Goal 2: Promote the leveraging of public benefits to improve services to divert from or prevent homelessness and provide opportunities for people to maintain their current housing or rapidly exit into housing.

Goal 3: Break the cycle of incarceration that leads to disrupted families, limited economic prospects, barriers to housing, intergenerational poverty, housing instability, and continued criminal activity.

Goal 4: Promote targeted outreach and education opportunities to the public to create awareness of resources to prevent homelessness by effectively collaborating with community partners and efficiently using available funds.

Strategic Issue #3 – Wraparound Services
Goal 1: Increase access to matching of funds from state agencies to the Continua of Care providers to improve wraparound services.

Goal 2: Provide materials to potential funders regarding best practices, strategies, and interventions in Nevada's communities for strategic investment to prevent and end homelessness.
Goal 3: Leverage existing state resources such as Medicaid, managed care organizations, community health centers, behavioral health providers, and others to maximize opportunities for wraparound care.

Goal 4: Advocate for the renewal of a statewide SOAR program that assists in training and coordination of additional SOAR case managers.

Goal 5: Advance opportunities for workforce development of formerly homeless individuals in recovery to become peer recovery support specialists working in the field of ending homelessness and pursue funding opportunities for PRSS positions.

Goal 6: Support training and education initiatives on Progressive Engagement which targets resources based on individual needs and Housing First which promotes housing individuals as quickly as possible without forcing program participation, sobriety, or other barriers to housing.

Strategic Issue #4 – Education and Workforce Development
Goal 1: Public outreach and education is conducted to create awareness to remove the stigma around homelessness.

Goal 2: Expand economic opportunities for people who are experiencing homeless or at risk of homelessness to achieve self-sufficiency and economic mobility by supporting collaboration with workforce development, education, and record-sealing initiatives.

Goal 3: Support access to and stability in education and supportive services for children and adults experiencing homelessness or housing instability by leveraging community-based and governmental services.

Goal 4: Leverage Medicaid and managed care organizations resources for basic skills training, educational supports, and workforce development opportunities.

Goal 5: Advocate for systems level change in policy that support ending the gender wage gap and increasing racial equity to support the stability of all families across generations.

Goal 6: Ensure that COVID related funding supports behavioral health and substance use treatment and prevention as well as community-based recovery supports to ensure a workforce readiness and wellness.

Goal 7: Support projects such as the Nevada Recovery Friendly Workplace Initiative and re-entry initiatives which facilitate employment and educational opportunities for people in recovery and people with past justice-involvement.

Strategic Issue #5 – Coordination of Primary and Behavioral Health
Goal 1: Support integration/collaborative partnerships between primary and behavioral health care providers and homeless assistance programs, emergency shelters, and housing programs to enhance wellness, prevention, and chronic disease management and reduce susceptibility to health conditions related to homelessness.
Goal 2: Support effective care coordination between acute care facilities, psychiatric hospitals, and substance use treatment providers in safely discharging into community settings.

Goal 3: Leverage Medicaid and managed care organization resources to support the needs of medically fragile people experiencing homelessness.

**Strategic Issue #6 – Coordination of Data and Resources**

Goal 1: The ICH should support and expand the efforts to map and integrate data across all systems of care that serve low-income clients in order to provide a more comprehensive, coordinated, client-centered, whole-person, system of care in Nevada.

Goal 2: Encourage all providers & systems of care across the state to work with the University of Nevada, Las Vegas (UNLV) on the data system mapping project.

**Strategic Issue #7 – Policies**

Goal 1: Support policies that support racial justice and racial equity of Nevadans.

Goal 2: Support housing policies that prioritize funding used to construct and preserve low-income and affordable housing units. An emphasis should be placed on both the development of Permanent Supportive Housing and affordable units targeted to those households at 30% AMI or below who are at risk of homelessness.

Goal 3: Support local policies that modify or expand zoning to create affordable housing, the land use policies for housing, the creation of transit-oriented communities and the ability of the local jurisdictions to support wrap around services.

Goal 4: Support policies that end Veteran homelessness, including expanding HUD-VASH to support the growing number of sheltered and unsheltered Veterans experiencing homelessness and programs that assist Veterans and their dependents.

**Strategic Issue #8 – Long-term Planning**

Goal 1: Support long term strategies such as leveraging excess public lands, reduce affordable housing development costs by subsidizing fees and reducing review times, incentives for the development of affordable housing and addressing community concerns to dispel myths about affordable housing may be researched and implemented.

Goal 2: Find opportunities to sustain services initiated with funding provided to respond to the coronavirus pandemic.

Goal 3: Support efforts to rehabilitate naturally occurring low-income and affordable housing.

Goal 4: Expand capacity of nonprofits to manage low-income and affordable housing.

Goal 5: Expand providers that have the capacity to acquire and manage the naturally occurring low-income and affordable housing.
Goal 6: Centering the voices of people experiencing homelessness in conversations about ending homelessness.

Goal 7: Using a framework that is centered on justice, specifically racial, social, and economic justice.
Evaluating and Updating the Plan

The strategic plan is intended to be used as both a management and communication tool for action. It is intended to be a living document that guides the work of the ICH. To implement the plan, the ICH will establish Committees to complete the strategies within each goal area. Each Committee will include a Chair and Vice-Chair made up of members of the ICH. Each of the Committees will be responsible for tracking and reporting progress. Four workgroups will be established and report back to the ICH. They include:

- **Workgroup Coordination of Data and Resources**
- **Workgroup Coordination of Primary and Behavioral Health, and Wraparound Services**
- **Workgroup Education and Workforce Development**
- **Workgroup Housing, and Homelessness Prevention and Intervention**

ICH

Per the Executive Order, the strategic plan will be reviewed in its entirety annually to remove strategies that have been accomplished or that no longer apply and to update the plan, revising timing and adding strategies that are identified as necessary to achieve the mission of the ICH (“lead Nevada’s efforts to prevent and end homelessness”).

The human costs of homelessness are incalculable – trauma, despair, loss of family, job and community, illness and injury. Homelessness is also costly for the state and local governing bodies and taking steps to address the problem is fiscally wise. In communities that have engaged actively in ending homelessness, public costs have been reduced – often substantially – in the areas of crisis response, public safety, and emergency services.
Introduction

Nevada’s Interagency Council on Homelessness was established via Executive Order 2013-20 to coordinate and focus the State's efforts to effectively address the challenge of homelessness in the State of Nevada. The Council provides the opportunity for Nevada to engage in an integrated approach regarding the issue of homelessness and promote interagency cooperation. The Council works to increase the awareness of homeless issues among state and local government agencies and local organizations that provide services to people who are homeless.

Methods

The Council created a strategic planning subcommittee during their first meeting in September 2014. The subcommittee was charged with establishing a strategic plan for the Council. The strategic plan subcommittee met bi-weekly to develop the strategic plan template, mission, vision, values, and needs assessment content. The plan was presented to the Council at the November 2014 and January 2015 meetings for approval and direction. A final version was presented and adopted during the June 2015 Council meeting.

Engaging Stakeholders

Council members applied through an open application process and were appointed to the Council by the Governor. The Governor’s Executive Order details that the Council shall consist of no more than twenty members and members should represent private businesses, state agencies, nonprofit organizations that provide services to homeless people, public housing, local governments, federal agencies, at least one person who is or has been homeless, and any others with an interest in addressing homelessness. The strategic planning subcommittee includes council members and members not on the council that represent other groups working on homeless issues throughout Nevada. These include the faith based organizations, the Continua of Care, and members from homeless initiatives.

There are a number of planning projects recently completed or underway in Nevada that address aspects of homelessness. The Council utilized a number of these plans from stakeholders to inform the strategic plan. The Council’s guiding principles are shared with the Dedicating Opportunities to End Homelessness (DOEH) initiative, a joint effort between the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) and the United States Interagency Council on Homelessness (USICH). Data from the three regional continua of care in Nevada was utilized to inform the needs assessment section of the plan regarding the number of homeless and bed availability, and the plans from northern and southern Nevada as well as the Nevada Housing-Healthcare (H2) initiative were used to identify critical issues. Recommendations from the Governor’s Council on Behavioral Health and Wellness, and white papers recently completed by the Division of Public and Behavioral Health were also utilized. Data from the USICH/HUD Dedicating Opportunities to End Homelessness Initiative’s Strategic Planning Guide was also used to demonstrate homeless population projections.
Vision for the Future

Mission
Nevada’s Governor’s Interagency Council on Homelessness will lead Nevada’s efforts to prevent and end homelessness.

Values
Nevada has a common set of values it shares with federal, state and local jurisdictions:

- Every person matters and deserves to be treated with dignity and respect.
- Homelessness is unacceptable.
- Homelessness can be prevented.
- Homelessness is expensive; it is better to invest in solutions.
- Homelessness is solvable; we have learned a lot about what works.
- There is strength in collaboration.

Nevada uses guiding principles shared with the Dedicating Opportunities to End Homelessness (DOEH) initiative, a joint effort between the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) and the United States Interagency Council on Homelessness (USICH). These guiding principles include:

- Coordinating Across Partners
- Community-led Action
- Data-driven Achievable Strategies and Goals
- Making Commitments and Measuring Results
- Leveraging Existing and Untapped Resources
- Removing Barriers
- Targeting Priority Populations
Needs of the Community

While circumstances can vary, the main reason people experience homelessness is because they cannot find housing they can afford. It is the scarcity of affordable housing in the United States, particularly in more urban areas where homelessness is more prevalent, that is behind their inability to acquire or maintain housing.\(^\text{11}\) According to U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), an estimated 12 million renter and homeowner households now pay more than 50 percent of their annual incomes for housing, and a family with one full-time worker earning the minimum wage cannot afford the local Fair Market Rent (FMR) for a two-bedroom apartment anywhere in the United States.\(^\text{12}\)

Two trends are largely responsible for the rise in homelessness: a growing shortage of affordable housing and an increase in poverty. Proven housing-based policies to address homelessness include federal housing assistance which includes public housing and federal housing vouchers, permanent supportive housing which combines affordable housing assistance with supportive services, and housingfirst in which homeless individuals are placed in housing without any program sobriety prerequisite.\(^\text{13}\)

Individuals and families become homeless due to a variety of factors aside from the inability to afford and maintain housing. As mentioned previously, poverty is one contributing factor that is linked to homelessness. Those living in poverty are frequently unable to pay for housing, food, childcare, health care, and education. Difficult choices must be made when limited resources cover only some of these necessities. Often it is housing, which absorbs a high proportion of income that is impacted. If you are poor, you are essentially an illness, an accident, or a paycheck away from living on the streets. Declining wages have put housing out of reach for many workers. In every state, more than the minimum wage is required to afford a one- or two-bedroom apartment at FMR. Declines in value and availability of public assistance is another contributing factor. Those with a loss of benefits, low wages, and unstable employment struggle to get medical care, food and housing. Additional contributing factors include a lack of affordable housing, health care, domestic violence, mental illness, and addiction disorders.\(^\text{14}\)

Sufficient funding for homeless programs continues to be an ongoing issue. The President's Budget Proposal for fiscal year (FY) 2015 recommended providing level funding to the Runaway and Homeless Youth Act (RHYA) and Education for Homeless Children and Youth (EHCY) programs through an allocation of $114 million for RHYA and $65 million for EHCY. The budget also proposed an additional $2 million for an incidence and prevalence study of unaccompanied youth homelessness. The FY2015 amount proposed for RHYA is insufficient to ensure existing programs are supported and communities are able to meet the needs of homelessness and runaway youth. According to the National Alliance to End Homelessness, Congress should provide at least $140 million in funding for RHYA programs in FY 2015 to support existing programs and help communities better meet the needs of homeless and


\(^\text{13}\) Retrieved on December 31, 2014. \url{http://www.coalitionforthehomeless.org/ending-homelessness/proven-solutions/}

runaway youth, for instance through helping to close the gap between the number of homeless youth and number of available RHYA beds for them.\textsuperscript{15} 

In December 2014, HUD programs held on to the increases in funding they received in 2013. The approved spending bill provides $2.135 billion for the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Grants program. However, this is $271 million less than what was requested. While the amount will be sufficient for maintaining the CoC and Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG), funding McKinney-Vento at the higher level would have helped secure 37,000 rent subsidies necessary to meet the goal of ending chronic homelessness by the end of 2016.\textsuperscript{16}

The following section further details the specific homeless and housing needs in Nevada.

**State Assets/Demographics**

- The State of Nevada’s population has changed dramatically in recent years. Between 1990 and 2000, Nevada was ranked the fastest growing state in the nation with total population jumping 66 percent during that decade (Social Science Data Analysis Network (SSDAN), 2000). The State of Nevada continues to grow, though at slower rate than the previous decade. According to United States Census Bureau (2012) and Nevada State Demographer's Office (2012) the rate of population growth from 2000 to 2012 was 27.3 percent. Between 2012 and 2017 the population is projected to grow by 8.5 percent, and by 2032, Nevada’s population is expected to reach 3.2 million people (The Nevada State Demographer's Office, 2015). In 2014, Nevada’s population was estimated at 2,843,301 (The Nevada State Demographer's Office, 2015).

- Nevada has 17 counties with two metropolitan areas. Clark and Washoe Counties contain most (88%) of the state’s population. The remaining 12 percent of Nevada’s population resides in the remaining 15 rural counties (The Nevada State Demographer's Office, 2015). The population per square mile, a measure of density, varies dramatically by county.

- In 2013, Nevada’s male population (1,402,163; 50.52%) was slightly greater than the female population (1,373,053; 49.48%) (The Nevada State Demographer's Office, 2015).

- In 1991, persons of Hispanic Origin constituted 12 percent of the population. In 2007, persons who were Asian or Pacific Islander made up 6.5 percent of the total population, and in 2013 constituted 6.7 percent (The Nevada State Demographer's Office, 2015). Growth of the Hispanic population is projected to continue, reaching an estimated 33.9 percent of the total population by 2032 (The Nevada State Demographer's Office, 2015).

- Persons that are Black (not of Hispanic Origin) make up a small proportion of Nevada’s population (9%). The proportion of people that are Black is projected to remain relatively constant as a component of total population (The Nevada State Demographer's Office, 2015).

- Asians/Pacific Islanders have increased as a percentage of Nevada’s total population. In 1991, this group made up just over 3 percent of the population. In 2007, persons who were Asian or Pacific Islander made up 6.5 percent of the total population, and in 2013 constituted 6.7 percent.


of Nevada’s population (The Nevada State Demographer's Office, 2015). This trend, observed nationwide, is attributed to recent immigration. This group is also expected to grow slightly in coming years, reaching 7 percent by 2032 (The Nevada State Demographer's Office, 2015).

- The federal poverty level as defined by the U.S. Census Bureau is one indicator used to understand need. In 2012, updated estimates developed by the Census Bureau indicated that 16.2 percent of Nevadans were below the poverty level during the past 12 months. Furthermore, those estimates show that 24.8 percent of the population under the age of 18, and 26.6 percent of the population under the age of 5 live in poverty in Nevada (United States Census Bureau, n.d.).

- Nevada’s current unemployment rate stands at 7.1 percent as of February 2015 (United States Department of Labor, 2015), the second highest in the nation. Unemployment in many of Nevada’s rural counties exceeds the overall state rate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>Unemployment Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carson City</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Churchill County</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clark County</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Douglas County</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elko County</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esmeralda County</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eureka County</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humboldt County</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lander County</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lincoln County</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lyon County</td>
<td>10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineral County</td>
<td>11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nye County</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pershing County</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storey County</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washoe County</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Pine County</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- As is the case with unemployment, Nevada also leads the nation in rates of foreclosures. The Nevada foreclosure rate of 0.12 percent as of June 2014 is higher than the national average of 0.08 percent (Realty Trac LLC, n.d.).

- High unemployment, poverty, foreclosure rates and a continuing budget crisis in the state all lead to greater risk of homelessness. U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) has four federally defined categories under which individuals and families may qualify ashomeless:
  - Literally homeless

---

• Imminent risk of homelessness
• Homeless under other Federal statutes
• Fleeing/attempting to flee domestic violence

Nevada has three Continua of Care (CoCs) in the state that cover distinct regions: northern, southern and rural Nevada. CoCs are most commonly organized around two main goals—planning for a homeless housing and service system in the community and applying for funding from HUD’s competitive McKinney-Vento Act programs that were amended by the Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing (HEARTH) Act of 2009.

• CoCs primarily receive funding for supportive housing programs for the homeless.
• The three CoCs work to end homelessness in their respective regions and collaborate to address statewide issues, such as:
  ▪ **SOAR (SSI/SSDI, Outreach, Access, and Recovery)** — a process to expedite access to Social Security disability benefits for the homeless; The State SOAR Coordinator aligns statewide efforts to ensure clients are efficiently being assisted for approval of SSI/SSDI benefits.
  ▪ **Homeless Management Information System (HMIS)** — a statewide data collection system required by HUD and widely used by homeless service providers to track client data and outcomes;
  ▪ **Homeless Census (Point in Time)** — conducted annually on the same date;
  ▪ **Quarterly Statewide CoC Meetings** — discuss progress and develop unified plans to address issues; and
  ▪ **Housing** — partnering with public housing authorities and jurisdictions to ensure resources are prioritized for re-housing the homeless.

• Each year, HUD requests CoCs to update a Housing Inventory Chart (HIC) which lists the number of emergency shelter, transitional housing, permanent supportive housing, and safe haven bed and unit numbers for homeless individuals and families on a specific date in January. The HIC provides a snapshot of the utilization of those beds at that specific point in time. In 2015, the northern, southern and rural continua reported there were a total of 8,832\(^{18}\) beds in Nevada for the homeless:

\[\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
\text{Bed Type} & \text{N. Nevada} & \text{S. Nevada} & \text{R. Nevada} & \text{State (total)} \\
\hline
\text{Emergency Shelter} & 523 & 2,874 & 134 & 3,531 \\
\hline
\text{Transitional Housing} & 401 & 1,034 & 92 & 1,527 \\
\hline
\text{Safe Haven} & 0 & 25 & 0 & 25 \\
\hline
\text{ Permanent Supportive Housing} & 471 & 2,093 & 222 & 2,786 \\
\hline
\text{Rapid Re-Housing} & 0 & 963 & 0 & 963 \\
\hline
\text{Total} & 1,395 & 6,989 & 448 & 8,832 \\
\hline
\end{array}\]

\(^{18}\) 2015 Housing Inventory Charts for northern, southern and rural Nevada.
• On the same date as the HIC information is collected, CoCs are required to conduct a Point in Time (PIT) count of the homeless. Emergency shelter and transitional housing providers are asked to provide the number of homeless individuals served on that specific date. Additionally, an unsheltered or street count is conducted to count the number of individuals living in areas not meant for human habitation (such as on the street, in parks, etc.) Results of the 2015 Point in Time count showed that a total of 12,336 individuals are homeless in Nevada19.

Table 3 2015 Point in Time results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bed Type</th>
<th>N. Nevada</th>
<th>S. Nevada</th>
<th>R. Nevada</th>
<th>State (total)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Shelter</td>
<td>454</td>
<td>2,719</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>3,251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transitional Housing</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>859</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>1,262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safe Haven</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsheltered</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>7,509</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>7,808</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>907</strong></td>
<td><strong>11,102</strong></td>
<td><strong>327</strong></td>
<td><strong>12,336</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• In addition to the HIC and PIT, CoCs report the unmet need for their region. The unmet need is a calculation that utilizes both HIC and PIT data to determine the number of beds needed, by type, in order to meet homeless needs. Based on 2015 PIT and HIC data, the following table shows the unmet need for southern, northern and rural Nevada. By far, the biggest unmet need in Nevada is permanent supportive housing.

Table 4 2015 Unmet Need

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Beds</th>
<th>N. Nevada</th>
<th>S. Nevada</th>
<th>R. Nevada</th>
<th>State (total)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Shelter (includes seasonal and overflow beds)</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>774</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transitional Housing</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>3,611</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>3,851</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent Supportive Housing</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>3,496</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>4,646</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safe Haven</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• There are three public housing authorities (PHA) in Nevada: the Southern Nevada Regional Housing Authority, the Reno Housing Authority, and the Nevada Rural Housing Authority. Each PHA provides public housing units, HUD-Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing (VASH) vouchers, and Housing Choice vouchers (a program for assisting very low-income families, the elderly, and the disabled to afford decent, safe, and sanitary housing in the private market20). As of January 9, 2015, there were a total of 19,485 PHA units in Nevada (table 5).

---

19 Point in time count numbers are compiled from the results reported to HUD by the three CoCs.
### Table 5 Public Housing Authority Units as of January 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Number of Public Housing Units</th>
<th>Number of HUD VASH Vouchers</th>
<th>Number of Housing Choice Vouchers</th>
<th>Total PHA Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Southern Nevada Regional Housing Authority</td>
<td>2,882</td>
<td>880</td>
<td>10,319</td>
<td>14,081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reno Housing Authority</td>
<td>764</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>2,752</td>
<td>3,721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevada Rural Housing Authority</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>1,613</td>
<td>1,683</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevada Totals</td>
<td>3,646</td>
<td>1,155</td>
<td>14,684</td>
<td>19,485</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Utilizing USICH/HUD Dedicating Opportunities to End Homelessness Initiative’s Strategic Planning Guide, projections for chronic, family, and veteran homelessness were developed utilizing 2014 PIT data from southern, northern and rural Nevada. The tool is intended to help communities think strategically about resources that are available within the community, from HUD and other sources, to end homelessness. The tool projects how many people (chronically homeless, veterans, and families) are likely to experience homelessness from now until 2020 based on current policies and investments. The tool is intended to be used to estimate the potential impact of increasing investments or making other changes that improve access to housing opportunities, and to determine what additional resources or program improvements are needed to end homelessness.
  - Projections are calculated by entering the number of homeless individuals and families from the most recent PIT count and the number of units available based on HMIS and the HIC. The projections also take into account other factors, such as known or estimated percentages of length of stay, those who receive housing due to rapid re-housing interventions, etc. Once all known and estimated data is entered, the projections auto-generate each graphic for the specific subpopulation.
  - The graphics show the current status that Nevada is on to currently end homelessness. The “Impact of Policies” reflects the trajectory that Nevada could be on by increasing the availability of housing units by creating new permanent supportive housing units and affordable housing units. For the purposes of this strategic plan, only the graph for veteran homelessness actually utilizes the line. This is because it includes the number of Veteran Affairs Supportive Housing (VASH) vouchers allocated. Because local planning data is not readily accessible for providing additional PSH units to address the needs for chronic and family homelessness, the “Impact of Policies” line is omitted in those graphs.
  - When reviewing HUD homeless projections for the state of Nevada\(^{20}\), it is anticipated that:
    - The number of chronically homeless individuals will increase by over 40 percent from 2013 to 2016.

---

The number of homeless families will increase by 50 percent from 2,000 in 2015 to 3,000 in 2021:

21 Projections provided by the Reno HUD field office.
And the number of homeless veterans will increase by over 60 percent from 2012 to 2016:

Figure 3 Veteran Homelessness Projection - State of Nevada

Projections were also calculated for northern, southern and rural Nevada for chronic, veteran and family homelessness. Because southern Nevada has a larger proportion of homeless when compared to northern and rural Nevada, their projections are shown as separate from northern and rural Nevada.

- Chronic homelessness in southern Nevada is projected to decrease in 2016 to nearly half (50 percent) of its 2012 numbers. This decrease is due to increased permanent housing resources for those chronically homeless individuals in southern Nevada.

Figure 4 Chronic Homelessness Projection - Southern Nevada

- Chronically homeless projections for northern and rural Nevada show an increase in the number of chronically homeless individuals. Chronic homelessness in rural Nevada dipped in 2013 from the 2012 baseline but is anticipated to increase over 20 percent from 2013 to 2016. It is also estimated that the number of chronically homeless individuals in rural Nevada will triple the 2012 baseline by 2016. Northern and rural Nevada have a limited number of permanent housing resources for chronically homeless individuals, including a lack of permanent housing stock which contributes to the growing number of chronically homeless.
Veteran homelessness in southern Nevada is anticipated to increase by over 60 percent from the 2012 baseline. Veteran specific resources in southern Nevada are limited, especially when considering the high number of homeless veterans counted in the 2014 PIT.
Conversely, veteran homelessness is projected to decrease by nearly 80 percent in Northern Nevada. Rural Nevada shows some stabilization in the number of homeless veterans. Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing (VASH) vouchers and the availability of other veteran specific resources have impacted the number of homeless veterans in northern and rural Nevada.

*Figure 7 Veteran Homelessness Projections - Northern and Rural Nevada*

Family homelessness in southern Nevada is projected to increase over 350 percent from 2012 to 2021. Family and youth homelessness continues to be an issue statewide with a lack of housing, prevention, wraparound services and other resources.

*Figure 8 Family Homelessness Projection - Southern Nevada*
Similarly, family homelessness in northern and rural Nevada is projected to increase over 130 percent by 2021. Both regions experience similar shortages in family and youth resources as southern Nevada.

Figure 9 Family Homelessness Projections - Northern and Rural Nevada

- Youth at Risk of Homelessness (YAH) is a planning project in southern Nevada intended to build intervention strategies for youth and young people who are most likely of experiencing challenges in transition to adulthood, to include homelessness and unstable housing experiences. They target youth entering foster care between the ages of 14-17, youth aging out of foster care, young adults (under 21) accessing homeless support services with former child welfare history, and youth in multiple systems and victims of human trafficking. Core activities developed during the planning project are expected to produce positive outcomes, including stable housing, permanent connections, education/employment, and enhanced well-being.
  
  - YAH has identified the total number of youth who aged out of the system in 2009-2013. These are youth who are at heightened risk for negative outcomes, including homelessness. Based on data for January 2014, they estimate a total of 72 youth will age out by the end of the year.  

Table 6 Youth at Risk of Homelessness - Total Youth Who Aged Out or Emancipated

---

• The Nevada Behavioral Health System Gaps Analysis report details that lack of housing is one of the biggest gaps for behavioral health system users. In Fiscal Year (FY) 2011-2012, the state provided services to 35,894 children and 47,589 adults.

• There are a variety of types of affordable housing. Housing Choice Vouchers (Section 8 which is currently unavailable for new enrollees), public housing, tenant based rental assistance (TBRA) as well as others. TBRA works well with housing first because the program places homeless persons into permanent housing quickly and is usually set up to include case management. Historically, Nevada has always provided more transitional housing than permanent.

• A consolidated plan is a planning document required by HUD. It is designed to help states and local jurisdictions to access their affordable housing and community development needs and market conditions so that they are able to make data-driven, place-based investment decisions. Consolidated plans have been developed for Clark County and Washoe County, as well as Carson City, Henderson, Las Vegas, and Reno. Their goals are presented below:
  o City of Reno FY2014-2015 Draft Action Plan Goals:
    ▪ Goal 1: Expand affordable rental housing opportunities for extremely and very low income households, including those with special needs.
    ▪ Goal 2: Stabilize neighborhoods and increase appropriate housing opportunities for low and moderate income households, including those with special needs.
    ▪ Goal 3: Support organizations that provide supportive services to the region’s lowest income residents and residents with special needs.
    ▪ Goal 4: Increase economic opportunities for the region’s residents and businesses.
    ▪ Goal 5: Support quality living environments of low- and moderate-income households through infrastructure improvements and blight reduction.
  o Carson City FY2014-2018 Draft Consolidated Plan Goals:
    ▪ Goal 1: Expand transitional housing opportunities for families and individuals.
    ▪ Goal 2: Maintain access to availability of health and dental services.
    ▪ Goal 3: Maintain suitable living environments for those in imminent danger of homelessness.
    ▪ Goal 4: Expand transitional housing for young adults-Ventana Sierra Project.
    ▪ Goal 5: Sustain independent living opportunities for owners through rehabilitation and modification of existing structures.
    ▪ Goal 6: Encourage universal design in new construction of multifamily housingsupported by Carson City.
    ▪ Goal 7: Expand mental health counseling services.
    ▪ Goal 8: Maintain access to housing for person with disabilities through shelter plus care grant and COC.
  o Clark County FY2014 Action Plan Goals:
    ▪ Goal 1: Provide Decent Housing. This includes affordable housing for homeless persons, preserving the affordable housing stock, increasing the availability of permanent housing that is affordable to low-income Americans without discrimination, and increasing supportive housing that has special structural features and services to enable persons with special needs to live in dignity.
    ▪ Goal 2: Provide a Suitable Living Environment. This includes improving the
safety and livability of neighborhoods, increasing access to quality facilities and services, reducing the isolation of income groups within target areas by increasing housing opportunities and revitalizing deteriorating neighborhoods, restoring and preserving natural and physical features of special value for historical, architectural, or aesthetic reasons, and conserving energy resources.

- Goal 3: Expand Economic Opportunities. This includes creating employment opportunities and job training accessible to low- and extremely low-income persons, providing access to credit for community development that promotes long-term economic and social viability and empowering low- and extremely low-income persons residing in Federally assisted and public housing to achieve self-sufficiency

  - City of Las Vegas Consolidated Plan Goals:
    - Goal 1: Create more affordable rental and owner-occupied housing opportunities for its citizens
    - Goal 2: Support diverse, safe, sustainable and livable neighborhoods through the improvements to housing, facilities, infrastructure, and services
    - Goal 3: Provide public facilities and services that promote healthy lifestyles for all segments of the community, including the disabled, homeless, low-income residents, seniors, and youth
    - Goal 4: Promote open government by providing its citizens with public input and comment opportunities regarding the Consolidated Plan and Action Plan
    - Goal 5: Improve housing stock, public facilities and infrastructure to provide a safe environment for City residents, businesses, and visitors
    - Goal 6: Provide affordable housing, improve streets and sidewalks, parks and recreation facilities that help revitalize and invigorate the City’s urban core and surrounding neighborhoods.

  - City of Henderson Draft Annual Action Plan Goals FY2014-2015:
    - Goal 1: Decent housing
    - Goals 2: Suitable living environment
    - Goal 3: Economic opportunity
Identifying Strategic Issues

The human costs of homelessness are incalculable – trauma, despair, loss of family, job and community, illness and injury. Homelessness is also costly for the state and local governing bodies and taking steps to address the problem is fiscally wise. In communities that have engaged actively in ending homelessness, public costs have been reduced – often substantially – in the areas of crisis response, public safety, and emergency services. The ICH identified eight strategic issues facing the state through an analysis of the data in the previous section. Strategic issues include both fundamental policy choices and critical challenges that must be addressed in order for the ICH to achieve its vision.

Strategic Issue #1 Housing

At its root, homelessness is the result of the inability to afford and maintain housing. Two trends are largely responsible for the rise in homelessness: a growing shortage of affordable housing and an increase in poverty. Proven housing-based policies include federal housing assistance which includes public housing and federal housing vouchers, permanent supportive housing which combines affordable housing assistance with supportive services, and housing first in which homeless individuals are placed in housing without any program sobriety prerequisite.23

Homelessness is expensive. Hospitalization, medical treatment, incarceration, police intervention, and emergency shelter expenses can add up quickly, making homelessness surprisingly expensive for municipalities and taxpayers. People experiencing homelessness are more likely to access the most costly health care services. Homelessness both causes and results from serious health care issues, including addiction, psychological disorders, HIV/AIDS, and a host of ailments that require long-term, consistent care. Homelessness inhibits this care, as housing instability often detracts from regular medical attention, access to treatment, and recuperation. This inability to treat medical problems can aggravate these problems, making them both more dangerous and more costly. Studies have shown that providing people experiencing chronic homelessness with permanent supportive housing saves money.24

The transformation to a housing stability approach builds on research and successful community practices, which demonstrate that focusing resources on quickly stabilizing people in housing diminishes the chaos in their lives and enables programs to address their clients’ longer-term service needs. While shelter is a critical form of emergency assistance, it should only be used for crisis. Focusing on housing stability affords greater opportunity for the homelessness assistance and mainstream systems to succeed.25

Making housing stability the center of a homelessness system helps bring other mainstream resources to bear, including benefits and cash assistance, supportive services, housing assistance, health care, job training, and food and nutrition services. This emphasis helps spread the responsibility of preventing and

ending homelessness across the community, and not just leaving it as the charge of homelessness assistance providers and shelters.

Housing First is an approach to ending homelessness that centers on providing people experiencing homelessness with housing as quickly as possible – and then providing services as needed. This approach has the benefit of being consistent with what most people experiencing homelessness want and seek help to achieve.

Housing First programs share critical elements:

- A focus on helping individuals and families access and sustain permanent rental housing as quickly as possible without time limits;
- A variety of services delivered to promote housing stability and individual well-being on an as-needed basis; and
- A standard lease agreement to housing – as opposed to mandated therapy or services compliance.

While all Housing First programs share these critical elements, program models vary significantly depending upon the population served. For people who have experienced chronic homelessness, there is an expectation that intensive (and often specialized) services will be needed indefinitely. For most people experiencing homelessness, however, such intensive services are not necessary. The vast majority of homeless individuals and families fall into homelessness after a housing or personal crisis that led them to seek help from the homeless assistance system. For these families and individuals, the Housing First approach is ideal, as it provides them with assistance to find permanent housing quickly and without conditions. In turn, such clients of the homeless assistance networks need surprisingly little support or assistance to achieve independence, saving the system considerable costs.

Strategic Issue #2 Homelessness Prevention and Intervention

Communities throughout Nevada work tirelessly to offer a range of activities to prevent homelessness. The most widespread activities provide assistance to avert housing loss for households facing eviction. Others focus on moments when people are particular vulnerable or at-risk of homelessness, such as discharge from institutional settings. However, this isn’t enough to prevent many Nevadans from becoming homeless each year.

Homelessness prevention can also take place earlier in an individual’s life, such as targeting at-risk youth and families with children. Additionally, there are other subpopulations that can be included in prevention, such as those who are chronically homeless (those individuals who have been homeless for a year or more, or have experienced four episodes of homelessness within the last 3 years), those with mental health disorders or are dually diagnosed, or are veterans.

The human and fiscal costs of homelessness cut across all major systems of care, and an effective response to homeless requires the coordinated effort of partners across healthcare, behavioral health, criminal justice, and other fields.

---

One prevention and intervention model that allows homeless or at-risk of homelessness individuals to access the prevention, housing, and other services they need is centralized intake. Recent national research has highlighted centralized intake as a key factor in the success of homelessness prevention. Centralized intake can enhance the quality of client screening and assessment, and better target program assistance to where it can be most effective. When properly implemented, the system for preventing and ending homelessness is less fragmented and scarce resources are used more efficiently.27

Other promising homeless prevention activities were identified by HUD’s Office of Policy Development and Research28:

- Housing subsidies – research shows that subsidizing housing costs for extremely low-income people has the strongest effect on lowering homelessness rates compared to other interventions.
- Supportive services coupled with permanent housing – permanent supportive housing works to prevent initial homelessness of those individuals with a serious mental illness, with or without co-occurring substance use.
- Mediation in housing court – mediation under the auspices of housing courts show the ability to preserve tenancy, even after the landlord has filed for eviction.
- Cash assistance for rent or mortgage arrears – a commonly used primary prevention activity for households still in housing but threatened with housing loss that has shown to be effective in the prevention of homelessness.
- Rapid exit from shelter – this activity, while aimed at individuals and families just entering shelter, ensure that they quickly leave shelter and stay permanently housed afterwards.

To ensure success of any prevention activities, it is imperative that they are a part of a multiyear approach supported by stakeholders, agencies and partners, and communities. In addition, sustainable resources are necessary to ensure that these prevention activities are supported so that they can significantly reduce homelessness in our communities.

Strategic Issue #3 Wraparound Services

There is a significant need for the funding and provision of wraparound services for the homeless in Nevada. Wraparound services provide homeless individuals and families with a number of services they may need to stabilize their lives. Doing “whatever it takes” is considered a successful approach to ending homelessness. The most successful approach to ending homelessness is to combine wraparound services with permanent housing.29

Wraparound is an intensive, holistic method of engaging with individuals with complex needs (most typically children, youth, and their families) so that they can live in their homes and communities and realize their hopes and dreams. Since the term was first coined in the 1980s, “wraparound” has been defined in different ways. It has been described as a philosophy, an approach, and a service. In recent years, wraparound has been most commonly conceived of as an intensive, individualized care planning

and management process. Wraparound is not a treatment per se. The wraparound process aims to achieve positive outcomes by providing a structured, creative and individualized team planning process that, compared to traditional treatment planning, results in plans that are more effective and more relevant to the child and family.\(^\text{30}\)

For example, experiencing homelessness exacerbates health problems and the ability to access appropriate care. Residential instability and insecurity, including doubling up and overcrowding, creates substantial risks to child health, development, and educational outcomes. Housing instability and living in lower socioeconomic neighborhoods can lead to significant stress, mental health problems, obesity, and diabetes. Patients with multiple and chronic health needs often find navigating a complex and fragmented healthcare system overwhelming, making wraparound supportive services an essential component of linking health care, human services, and housing.\(^\text{31}\)

Wraparound is a key component to the Housing First model. Without providing all necessary resources and supports, permanent housing and Housing First are not nearly as effective. One key to ensuring that wraparound is provided is to ensure there is sufficient case management staff. One known issue in Nevada is the lack of service providers and case managers to support the homeless population. In addition, there is a lack of services for special populations such as single men who have custody of their children, Lesbian, Gay, Bi-sexual, Transgender, and Questioning (LGBTQ) individuals, transition age youth, unaccompanied minors, individuals with co-occurring disorders and individuals who are medically fragile.

Supplemental Security Income (SSI)/Social Security Disability Insurance (SSDI) Outreach, Access and Recovery (SOAR) is one wraparound strategy to help states increase access to mainstream benefits. The SSI/SSDI application process is complicated especially for those who are homeless, with a mental illness, substance use issues or co-occurring disorders. For those individuals, accessing income and health care benefits is often the critical first step on the road to stability. SOAR trained case managers are better able to assist clients in completing application processes and paperwork to enable them to access mainstream resources for which they are eligible. Implementing SOAR and wraparound is necessary to prevent and end homelessness.

Strategic Issue #4 Education and Workforce Development

Life skills are the skills that many people take for granted, like managing money, shopping, cooking, running a home and maintaining social networks. They are essential for living independently. Some homeless people do not have all of these skills, either because they never acquired them or because they lost them through extended periods of homelessness. Helping homeless people acquire life skills can help them move on from homelessness and resettle into the community. Life skills training is different from support, help or assistance in that the aim is to promote self-sufficiency.\(^\text{32}\)

Life skills can be thought of in terms of three broad categories: 1) core or basic skills (e.g. numeracy, literacy and information technology); 2) independent living skills (e.g. managing a household, budgeting, appointment keeping and contacting services, dealing with bills and correspondence); and, 3) social skills (e.g. interpersonal skills, avoiding or dealing with neighbor disputes, developing self-confidence

and social networks). There is limited knowledge on the life skills needs of many groups of homeless people including: families, people from ethnic minorities, and women.

Many factors combine to force so many to subsist without permanent housing, and too often without even basic shelter. Not only is there a shortage of affordable housing, but also wage and public benefits often yield incomes insufficient to obtain and maintain housing while simultaneously meeting the high costs of health care, child care and other support services. Although some people who experience homelessness are employed, they have jobs that pay wages too low to afford permanent housing. Others are not working due to job loss, child-caring responsibilities, age, disability, trauma, incomplete education or insufficient occupational skills.33

Studies estimate that 44% of homeless people have jobs and can't escape homelessness. Ending homelessness is virtually impossible for those without a job. For those with limited skills or experience, opportunities for jobs that pay a living wage are very limited. Additionally, many members of the homeless population have to combat barriers such as limited transportation and reduced access to educational and training programs (Long, Rio, & Rosen, 2007). In such a competitive environment, the difficulties of job seeking as a homeless person can be almost insurmountable barriers to employment.34

Many families who are homeless have difficulty accessing education and training programs. Lack of transportation and access to phones, email, and a reliable mailing address are among the challenges. Additionally, some homeless shelters require residents to be on the premises during certain hours which may not coincide with the requirements of a training program or job. Lack of child care is another large barrier to entering a job training program; parents who are homeless often do not have a reliable place to leave their children during the day. Families experiencing homelessness often have limited access to technology which impedes searching for, applying for, and maintaining employment. Additionally, the Workforce Investment Act (WIA) holds states, communities, and service providers accountable for performance measures, such as success rates in placing people in jobs and improving earnings. This may discourage them from reaching out to hard to serve populations who may need more supports to find employment.35

Success in school and being a part of the workforce begin early. Early education for children under the age of 5 who are homeless is essential. Over 50 percent of children living in federally-funded homeless shelters are under the age of 5. Infants, toddlers and preschoolers who are homeless are at grave risk of developmental delays due to a variety of physical and mental health factors such as a lack of prenatal and early health care, crowded and unsanitary living conditions, environmental contaminants like lead, and the trauma caused by severe poverty and instability. Tragically, these children also face unique barriers to enrolling and participating in early childhood programs. Common barriers include the following:

- Lack of Documents: Families experiencing homelessness often lack documents normally required for enrollment, such as health records and birth certificates, which may result in enrollment being delayed or denied.

High Mobility: Families in homeless situations often are forced to move among temporary living situations. Shelters often limit a family’s stay; parents move in search of employment; acquaintances may only be able to provide temporary shelter for a short period of time. Due to the instability of homelessness, families often leave the service area of early childhood programs before their children rise to the top of enrollment waiting lists.

Transportation: Homeless families often do not have vehicles or funds to pay for transportation for their young children to attend preschool programs.

Lack of Awareness: Early childhood programs often are not aware of the extent of family homelessness in their communities. Most homeless families stay in a variety of unstable situations, including staying temporarily with other people, or in a motel room. These largely hidden living arrangements make outreach and identification a challenge. In addition, homeless service providers often lack awareness of the unique needs of young children, and may not know how best to serve them.36

The cradle to career model focuses on improving outcomes through the continua of a child’s academic life. It focuses on improving outcomes such as kindergarten readiness, early grade reading, middle grade math, high school graduation, post-secondary enrollment, and post-secondary degree completion.37 Children under the age of 5 who are homeless are less likely to have preschool opportunities and because of this, their cradle to career outcomes are already impacted as they are less likely to be ready for kindergarten.38 Intervening with families with young children could help prevent homelessness in the next generation.

Strategic Issue #5 Coordination of Primary and Behavioral Health

Higher incidence, prevalence, and acuity of medical and behavioral problems among people who are homeless requires the availability of comprehensive medical and behavioral health services. Limited access to mental health specialists, stigma associated with mental illness, and negative health outcomes related to undiagnosed or untreated behavioral disorders make it incumbent on primary care providers to address their patients’ mental health needs.39

Medically fragile is defined as a chronic physical condition which results in a prolonged dependency on medical care for which daily skilled (nursing) intervention is medically necessary. Many individuals who are medically fragile are accessing hospital services for primary care. Although their medical needs are not deemed acute enough to need more intensive care, they require long-term home care. An individual can be considered medically fragile if:

1. A physician specified that the patient is not suitable for a shelter based on medical condition.
2. There is a life-threatening condition characterized by a reasonably frequent period of acute exacerbation, which requires frequent medical supervision, and/or physician consultation, and which in the absence of such supervision or consultation, would require hospitalization.

3. The individual requires frequent time-consuming administration of specialized treatments, which are medically necessary.

4. The individual is dependent on medical technology such that without the technology, a reasonable level of health could not be maintained. Examples include but are not limited to intravenous therapy, wound care, enteral or parenteral nutrition support, feeding tube.\textsuperscript{40}

In Integrating Primary and Behavioral Health Care for Homeless People, the following statistics were cited:

- Nearly 70 percent of all health care visits have primarily a psychosocial basis, and about 25 percent of all primary care recipients have a diagnosable mental disorder, most commonly anxiety and depression.
- Two thirds of homeless service users report an alcohol, drug, or mental health problem. These behavioral health disorders account for 69 percent of hospitalizations among homeless adults, compared with 10 percent of non-homeless adults.
- One-third of all patients with chronic illnesses, homeless or housed, have co-occurring depression. Major depression in patients with chronic medical illnesses amplifies physical symptoms, increases functional impairment, and interferes with self-care and adherence to medical treatment.
- Half of all care for common mental disorders is delivered in general medical settings. Many patients, particularly ethnic minorities, perceive primary care as less stigmatizing than the specialized mental health care.
- Half of mental disorders go undiagnosed in primary care. Primary care physicians vary in their ability to recognize, diagnose, and treat mental disorders.\textsuperscript{41}

Traditionally, primary care, mental health care, and addictions treatment have been provided by different programs in various agencies scattered throughout the community. People who are homeless—particularly those with mental illnesses and co-occurring substance use disorders—have difficulty navigating these multiple service systems. Lack of time, training, experience, and resources makes fully integrated primary and behavioral health care difficult to accomplish in primary care settings. Referrals can also be problematic for indigent patients. There are a number of barriers to integrated care:

- **Clinical barriers** - There are different and often conflicting paradigms in “physical” versus “behavioral” health care and treatment of mental illness versus substance use disorders.
- **Programmatic barriers** - The pressures of a busy primary care practice leave clinicians little time to attend to each patient’s needs. Visits typically last 13 to 16 minutes and patients have an average of six problems to address with their provider. Lack of training for interdisciplinary care is also a significant barrier.
- **Financial barriers** - Funding interdisciplinary care is a significant hurdle to providing integrated services. There are few, if any, economic incentives for primary care and behavioral health care.

\textsuperscript{40} Definitions for Healthy Living. Clark County Social Service.
providers to collaborate. Funding for mental health services is more restrictive than for general health care.42

Because of the number of barriers, the coordination of primary and behavioral health is an area of concern in Nevada.

**Strategic Issue #6 Coordination of Data and Resources**

A homeless management information system (HMIS) is a local information technology system used to collect client-level data and data on the provision of housing and services to homeless individuals and families and persons at risk of homelessness. HMIS can help provide a consistent and accurate snapshot of a region’s homeless population, including a population count, information on service use, and a measurement of the effectiveness of homeless programs, as HMIS also helps track the number of chronically homeless clients and placements into permanent housing. This information can have important impacts on policy at the federal, state, and local levels.

Although HMIS is utilized by the three regional CoCs in Nevada, there is still a need for coordination of data and resources that are available to the homeless. In the Nevada Behavioral Health Gaps Analysis report, information gathered from key informant interviews and surveys indicated that data collection has not been uniform throughout or between complimentary systems, making data analysis challenging. In addition, insufficient service options was also identified as a gap. This includes lack of housing, care management, and wraparound services. State and local level programs provide services and resources to the same populations in silos, which proves the need for coordination. These data management programs do not communicate with HMIS nor with one another. While the possibility of migrating service providers to a common database system exists, there is little to no funding to provide incentives to providers. Additionally, the authority to require service providers to participate in a common system is another issue.

Currently, most communities have fragmented systems for determining what kind of assistance people will receive when they become homeless. Much depends on where a person initially seeks help, which programs have open slots, and the specific eligibility criteria of different programs. In addition, there are a number of efforts underway across the country and the state that can impact how resources are deployed. Identifying and tracking new resources or changes to resources is essential to ensuring interagency collaboration and coordination. Fragmentation leads to inefficiency, because people with the highest level of need do not necessarily get directed to the most intensive programs, or those programs end up with longer waiting lists. Recent national research has highlighted centralized intake as a key factor in the success of homelessness prevention and rapid re-housing programs (and many other kinds of homeless programs). Centralized intake can enhance the quality of client screening and assessment, and better target program assistance to where it can be most effective. As a result, the system for preventing and ending homelessness is less fragmented and scarce resources are used more efficiently.43


43 Retrieved on December 31, 2014.

[https://www.hudexchange.info/resources/documents/hprp_centralizedintake.pdf](https://www.hudexchange.info/resources/documents/hprp_centralizedintake.pdf)
By improving data sharing and coordinating intervention efforts, mainstream systems are able to simultaneously reduce costs while improving client outcomes. The Nevada Governor’s Interagency Council on Homelessness (ICH) supports efforts to leverage data from multiple systems to develop effective interventions that prevent and end the cycle of homelessness and minimize the use of public services for the highest need, highest cost persons in Nevada.

Significant investments in HMIS, the Homeless Census, and other data gathering and reporting efforts provide an incredible resource for providers, policymakers, and planners in their efforts to draw from in their response to homelessness in Nevada. Data collected, however, is only valuable to the extent it is used to inform service delivery, policy making and strategic planning. Developing tools and strategies to better utilize data to drive decision-making helps ensure choices are made with the benefit of the best knowledge available.

Careful tracking of system performance and client outcomes enables more robust and effective planning and optimizes the use of limited public resources. Measuring progress is also crucial to making the case for future investment. Robust outcome tracking and performance measurement helps secure the financing and support necessary to expand the inventory of evidence-based interventions.

Coordinated Entry provides streamlined access to the homeless services system thereby allowing households facing housing loss to quickly access the services they need and for which they are eligible without having to call multiple social service programs. The process centers on streamlining access to homeless assistance services (such as prevention, rapid re-housing, shelter, and permanent supportive housing), screening applicants for eligibility for these and other programs using a consistent and well-coordinated approach, and assessing their needs to determine which interventions are the best fit.44 While coordinated assessment has been identified as a solution to the coordination of data and resources, these programs are still in their pilot phases in the three regions of Nevada.

Strategic Issue #7 Policies

Partnerships and collaboration in communities cannot go the whole distance to end homelessness. In an era of strained public budgets across all layers of government, effective interagency coordination is required to make progress on ending homelessness. Leadership and improved cooperation at the state level is needed to streamline and target resources to achieve a shared goal of re-housing people and offering the right amount of the right type of interventions to keep people housed.

Increasingly, our state’s capacity to access scarce federal dollars for housing assistance depends on its interagency strategies. An example is HUD’s Section 811 disability housing demonstration. This program awarded new federal rental assistance to states that agreed to set up memoranda of understanding (MOUs) between their housing officials and Medicaid programs. Other initiatives provide opportunities to leverage federal and state programs, depending on states to drive policy priorities and coordination efforts. Examples are:

- Using Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) money to help poor homeless families find and keep permanent housing.45

• Implementing Medicaid program changes to improve behavioral and physical health care delivery in supportive housing.  
• Training state personnel dedicated to Social Security determinations that benefit the most vulnerable homeless people.

Policies that can impact homelessness include addressing discharge planning and practices from state institutions or systems including prisons, hospitals and foster care, strategically allocating resources to prevent and end homelessness, promoting the sharing of data to quantify the issue and unmet need and measure progress over time, removing barriers to securing housing because of past substance use or criminal record, ensuring coordination of services and supports across state agencies, promotion of livable wage for the community in which people reside, streamlining application processes for mainstream resources, and promoting prevention activities based on risk. Because of the impact it has on homelessness, policies are a key factor in successfully implementing the strategic plan.

Strategic Issue #8 Long Term Planning

Long Term Planning was identified as a strategic issue by the ICH. This issue area includes linking with the strategies of other regional strategic plans as well as sustainability planning for homeless programs.

Sufficient funding for homeless programs continues to be an ongoing issue. In December 2014, HUD programs held on to the increases in funding they received in 2013. The approved spending bill provides $2.135 billion for the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Grants program. However, this is $271 million less than what was requested. While the amount will be sufficient for maintaining the CoC and Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG), funding McKinney-Vento at the higher level would have helped secure 37,000 rent subsidies necessary to meet the goal of ending chronic homelessness by the end of 2016.

Nevada’s homeless assistance resources are largely grant funded through the federal government. Changes in administrators and budget impact state resources. In Nevada, there are a number of grants that support housing, behavioral health and wraparound services that must be sustained in order for the system to remain intact. Understanding the costs and savings of different programs within the homelessness assistance system can be extremely illuminating and help drive change. Two key data points would be to:

• Know the per-person cost of every intervention and who bears the cost;
• Know how much every intervention saves and to whom the savings go.

Having this information can help the system utilize the most cost-effective interventions. It can also strengthen long-term planning to end homelessness by quantifying the needed resources.

In terms of sustainability, Housing First is one strategy that is has been proven to yield a higher cost benefit than other programs. The Denver Housing First Collaborative is designed to provide comprehensive housing and supportive services to chronically homeless individuals with disabilities. Initial federal funding created the capacity to house and serve 100 chronically homeless individuals. The program uses a Housing First strategy combined with assertive community treatment (ACT) services, providing integrated health, mental health, substance treatment, and support services. Their cost benefit analysis demonstrated an average cost savings of $31,545 per person. If that were projected for the 150 chronically homeless individuals participating in their two programs, the total savings would amount to $4.7 million in addition to the improved quality of life as demonstrated by their participants.50

Currently, funding for housing in Nevada comes from a limited number of sources and it is only sufficient to maintain current projects. Nevada lacks the resources to sufficiently fund housing, wraparound, and other services to effectively prevent and end homelessness. Long term planning would ensure that Nevada has sufficient resources and is able to sustain them. Long term and sustainability planning is an ongoing process that will be continually evaluated and updated by the ICH.

Goals, Strategies and Objectives

This section lists all of the long-term goals (3 to 5 year statements of desired change) established by the ICH for the state. It will also identify specific strategies that will be pursued to achieve the goals and objectives and specific course of action.

State Councils are critical in aligning State and Local Plans with the four principal national goals as set forth in Opening Doors, the Federal Strategic Plan to End Homelessness. The national goals include:

1. Finish the job of ending chronic homelessness by 2016;
2. Prevent and end homelessness among Veterans by 2015;
3. Prevent and end homelessness for families, youth, and children by 2020;
4. Set a path to ending all types of homelessness.

The USICH recommends that state plans include:

- Develop measurable goals to end homelessness
- Set targets and measure results.
- Set numeric goals for permanent housing units made available for target homeless populations.
- Measure progress using the annual point-in-time data for the four population goals.
- Measure housing retention and how well homeless programs help their clients become employed and access mainstream programs.
- Create and coordinate statewide data collection and reporting system
- Assemble accurate fiscal and demographic information and research/data to support policy development and track outcomes
- Map out a state-wide production plan for permanent, supportive housing
- Coordinate goals and tasks of Balance of State Continuum of Care with local continuums
- Promote systems integration (e.g. health services and housing supports) to increase effectiveness and efficiency

The ICH has proposed the following goals and strategies by strategic issue area:

**Strategic Issue #1 – Housing**

**Goal 1: Preserve the existing affordable housing stock.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal 1 Strategies</th>
<th>Lead</th>
<th>Resources</th>
<th>Resources Needed</th>
<th>Timing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.1.1 Utilize the Housing Inventory Chart (HIC) data from the three CoCs to establish a housing stock baseline.</td>
<td>Nevada Housing Search and three CoCs Section 42 properties</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## STRATEGIC PLAN

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal 1 Strategies</th>
<th>Lead</th>
<th>Resources</th>
<th>Resource Needed</th>
<th>Timing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.1.2 Evaluate and identify a system-wide analytic and projections tool for the state.</td>
<td>Nevada Housing Division – lead entity while also engaging the three CoCs and local housing authorities</td>
<td>Southern Nevada CoC Monitoring working group</td>
<td>Data personnel</td>
<td>Contract or cooperative agreement through the CoCs for data analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1.3 Conduct a capacity analysis assessment and compare results to the baseline to identify gaps.</td>
<td>CoC Coordinated Entry Data</td>
<td>Housing Authority project based vouchers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Goal 2: Provide the resources necessary to further expand and develop the inventory.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal 2 Strategies</th>
<th>Lead</th>
<th>Resources</th>
<th>Resources Needed</th>
<th>Timing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.2.1 Based on the results of the capacity analysis assessment, identify the need for specific housing types and sources of funding to develop the inventory.</td>
<td>Department of Business and Industry</td>
<td>Housing Authorities, CDBG, and HOME</td>
<td>Additional project-based vouchers, CDBG, HOME</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2.2 Improve access to all housing assistance, including rental subsidies, by eliminating administrative barriers and encouraging prioritization of people experiencing or most at risk of homelessness.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2.3 Identify resources to develop a coordinated entry report in HMIS to be</td>
<td>HMIS Lead</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Strategic Issue #2 – Homelessness Prevention and Intervention

#### Goal 1: Increase construction of new or rehabilitated housing in all communities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal 1 Strategies</th>
<th>Lead</th>
<th>Resources</th>
<th>Resources Needed</th>
<th>Timing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.1.1 Advocate for the construction of new or rehabilitated housing in all communities.</td>
<td>CoCs, Housing Authorities, State, Housing Division, and Jurisdictions that receive CDBG and HOME funding</td>
<td>Senate Bill 340</td>
<td>Funding Developers Local Planning Offices</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Goal 2: Coordinate housing programs and agencies to provide housing prevention and diversion services, including mediation opportunities, for individuals and families who are at-risk of being evicted.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal 2 Strategies</th>
<th>Lead</th>
<th>Resources</th>
<th>Resources Needed</th>
<th>Timing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.2.1 Develop coordinated access for prevention and diversion housing services.</td>
<td>Local CoCs and ESG recipients</td>
<td>Coordinated Intake Leadership Teams</td>
<td>Identified local point person and identified state point person</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2.2 Increase funding opportunities to support access to prevention and diversion housing services.</td>
<td>Local CoCs and ESG recipients</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2.3 Increase the number of homeless providers who are able to act as intermediaries between the landlord and tenant</td>
<td>Local CoCs and ESG recipients</td>
<td>Nevada Housing Division TrainingCoC Teams</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goal 2 Strategies</td>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>Resources</td>
<td>Needed Resources</td>
<td>Timing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>through training by 25 percent annually.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2.4 Providers will cash assistance to individuals and families who are at-risk of eviction to cover rent, mortgage, or utility arrears.</td>
<td>Nevada Housing Division and county social service administrator agencies</td>
<td>LIHTF program (utilize as a model)</td>
<td>Funding pool from State, set aside, or other allocations, Expansion of TANF, Public/private involvement</td>
<td>July 1, 2016 - June 30, 2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Goal 3: Public and private partners who provide services to prevent and end homelessness will coordinate policy to ensure that barriers are eliminated and goals of the strategic plan are achieved.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal 3 Strategies</th>
<th>Lead</th>
<th>Resources</th>
<th>Needed Resources</th>
<th>Timing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.3.1 Identify and contact all agencies who provide services to prevent and end homelessness to coordinate policy priorities.</td>
<td>ICH, Leadership SNV CoC</td>
<td>Lead staff person</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3.2 Provide training and technical assistance on homeless policy to public and private partners to ensure barriers are eliminated.</td>
<td>ICH</td>
<td>Training by a subject matter expert.</td>
<td>Technical assistance to be provided by a Statewide Homeless Coordinator or equivalent.</td>
<td>July 1, 2016 - June 30, 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3.3 Research and implement initiatives such as using Temporary Assistance for</td>
<td>ICH, Leadership from three CoC’s, DWSS</td>
<td>Ad-hoc working group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goal 3 Strategies</td>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>Resources</td>
<td>Needed Resources</td>
<td>Timing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Needy Families (TANF) money to help prevent or end homelessness by 2018.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3.4 Implement Medicaid program changes to improve behavioral and physical health care delivery in supportive housing.</td>
<td>ICH</td>
<td></td>
<td>Secure legislativ e approval including budget authority, and Medicaid authority</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Working group to oversee all Medicaid program changes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3.5 Provide four (4) training(s) annually to state personnel dedicated to Social Security determinations that benefit the most vulnerable people.</td>
<td>ICH Statewide SOAR Coordinator</td>
<td>Covered by CABHI grant (exception of travel costs for extra trainings)</td>
<td>Training resources, staff time, etc. Increase travel budget for travel to conduct the extra trainings</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Goal 4: Rapidly rehouse people who fall out of housing.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal 4 Strategies</th>
<th>Lead</th>
<th>Resources</th>
<th>Resources Needed</th>
<th>Timing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.4.1 Expand funding statewide to support community-specific rapid rehousing program.</td>
<td>ICH</td>
<td>LIHTF program</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Goal 5: Break the cycle of incarceration that leads to disrupted families, limited economic prospects and poverty, increased homelessness or at risk of homelessness, and more criminal activity.
## STRATEGIC PLAN

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal 5 Strategies</th>
<th>Lead</th>
<th>Resources</th>
<th>Needed Resources</th>
<th>Timing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.5.1 Collaborate with the AG’s Office to identify alternatives to prison sentences for low-risk offenders, inconsistent or unfair sentencing policies that may unduly burden certain target populations and advocate policy changes.</td>
<td>Statewide Re-entry Task Force</td>
<td>Sentencing Commission</td>
<td></td>
<td>July 1, 2015 - June 30, 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5.2 Identify and assess the effectiveness of different community reentry programs and expand programs at the community level, including streamlining of employment barriers and expansion of opportunities for those who have been discharged.</td>
<td>Department of Corrections</td>
<td>Statewide Re-entry Task Force</td>
<td>Lead staff person to compile information</td>
<td>July 1, 2015 - June 30, 2018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Goal 6:** The strategic plan document is re-assessed and updated at least every five years to prevent and end homelessness.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal 6 Strategies</th>
<th>Lead</th>
<th>Resources</th>
<th>Needed Resources</th>
<th>Timing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.6.1 Develop an annual work plan that identifies strategies and goals to be achieved during that one-year timeframe.</td>
<td>ICH</td>
<td>USICH Regional Coordinator</td>
<td>ICH Staff time to help coordinate</td>
<td>July 1, 2015 - June 30, 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.6.2 At the end of four years, reconvene the Strategic Planning Subcommittee to re-assess and update the strategic plan document.</td>
<td>ICH</td>
<td>ICH Staff time to help coordinate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Goal 7: Public outreach and education is conducted to create awareness to remove the stigma around homelessness.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal 7 Strategies</th>
<th>Lead</th>
<th>Resources</th>
<th>Needed Resources</th>
<th>Timing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.7.1 Develop information materials by the end of 2017 and conduct training quarterly on community resources for those who are at-risk or are homeless.</td>
<td>IC H</td>
<td>Expand on MPBT that the SNV CoC hold monthly SNV CoC is developing public awareness campaign, utilize to expand efforts statewide</td>
<td>Lead staff person to compile information and facilitate trainings</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.7.2 Develop a public awareness campaign about homelessness to implement statewide by 2018.</td>
<td>IC H</td>
<td>SNV CoC is developing public awareness campaign, expand efforts statewide</td>
<td>Coordination of PA message and resources to market the issue</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Engage business and community leaders in public awareness campaign.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Strategic Issue #3 – Wraparound Services

Goal 1: Increase access to all funding (federal, foundations, grants, private) for which Nevada may be eligible.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal 1 Strategies</th>
<th>Lead</th>
<th>Needed Resources</th>
<th>Timing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.1.1 Advocate to legislature and all state and county entities to expand habilitative services and develop a wraparound model that supports housing.</td>
<td>DHHS with program deputy/DHCFP/DWW S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal 1 Strategies</th>
<th>Lead</th>
<th>Resources</th>
<th>Needed Resources</th>
<th>Timing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Example: Development of 1915(i), TCM, etc.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>including provider training</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1.2 Develop sustainability plans for all sources of new funding.</td>
<td>DHHS with program deputy/DHCFP/DWW</td>
<td></td>
<td>Secure continued Legislative budget authority for state funded programs. Identify other sources/processes for continued funding if State funding not an option.</td>
<td>July 1, 2018 - June 30, 2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Goal 2: Each homeless or at risk of homeless individual receiving services has a person-centered careplan, developed through appropriate credentialed personnel, that meets their medical and social needs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal 2 Strategies</th>
<th>Lead</th>
<th>Resources</th>
<th>Needed Resources</th>
<th>Timing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coordinate and provide two (2) training opportunities quarterly (eight annually) for personnel in southern, northern, and rural Nevada who are not appropriately credentialed so that they become credentialed in person-centered care</td>
<td>Clark County Social Service, ADSD</td>
<td>Statewide SOAR Coordinator, Licensing Boards</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2.1 Upon intake, personnel develop a person-centered care plan for each homeless or at risk of homeless individual.</td>
<td>ADSD</td>
<td>Statewide SOAR Coordinator, Licensing Boards</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Goal 3: Close the gap between available and needed appropriate credentialed health professionals statewide.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal 3 Strategies</th>
<th>Lead</th>
<th>Resources</th>
<th>Needed Resources</th>
<th>Timing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.3.1 Work with universities in the state to recruit and train licensed professionals.</td>
<td>DHHS/IC H</td>
<td>UNLV/UNR School of Social Work (Michele Fuller-Hallauer is a part-time instructor for UNLV)</td>
<td>Lead staff person who understands the needs and act as educator and liaison to the universities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3.2 Remove licensure barriers so that out of state licensed professionals are offered reciprocity when moving to Nevada.</td>
<td>DHHS/IC H</td>
<td>Work with licensing agencies to review and revise policies regarding reciprocity</td>
<td>Secure legislatively approval</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3.3 Increase the number of appropriate credentialed health personnel statewide by 10 percent annually by providing training opportunities and incentives annually/quarterly.</td>
<td>DHHS/IC H, Licensing entities</td>
<td>Lead staff person to facilitate discussions and track outcomes</td>
<td>July 1, 2017 - June 30, 2019</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3.4 Conduct outreach to all agencies to ensure health professionals are aware of training opportunities and incentives to become credentialed by 2019.</td>
<td>DHHS/IC H</td>
<td>Nevada Homeless Alliance distribution list, Mainstream Programs Basic Training (MPBT) distribution lists for SNV</td>
<td>Centralized data base to post all training opportunities, Public awareness</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Strategic Issue #4 – Education and Workforce Development

**Goal 1: Expand economic opportunities (through initiatives such as workforce development, education opportunities, and job skills training) for those who are at-risk or are homeless to achieve self-sufficiency through a living wage.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal 1 Strategies</th>
<th>Lead</th>
<th>Resources</th>
<th>Needed Resources</th>
<th>Timing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.1.1 Collaborate with economic recovery and jobs programs to enroll 500 at-risk or homeless adults in workforce or technical training programs annually statewide.</td>
<td>Office of Workforce Innovation</td>
<td>Case managers (homeless providers) partnering with WIOA Implementation Planning team and local Job Connect</td>
<td>Reporting mechanism to the ICH</td>
<td>July 1, 2016 - June 30, 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1.2 Work with the Department of Veterans Affairs and Veterans Resource Centers to provide opportunities for work and support recovery for veterans with barriers to employment, especially veterans returning from active duty, veterans with disabilities, and veterans in permanent supportive housing.</td>
<td>DETR (working with DWSS and veterans services)/ Workforce Investment Board, Local CoCs</td>
<td>WIOA Implementation Planning team</td>
<td>Identified local person to convene an ad-hoc working group to develop collaborative protocols</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1.3 Support local initiatives to improve coordination and integration of employment programs with homelessness assistance programs, victim assistance programs, and housing and permanent supportive housing programs.</td>
<td>Local CoCs and providers</td>
<td>Case management at provider level, WIOA Implementation Planning team, state agencies</td>
<td>Point person to convene meetings, track progress and act as liaison if necessary.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal 1 Strategies</th>
<th>Lead</th>
<th>Resources</th>
<th>Needed Resources</th>
<th>Timing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.1.4 Collaborate with the Nevada Workforce Investment Board (WIB) to support and ensure coordination of goals and strategies of their strategic plan and the ICH strategic plan.</td>
<td>DETR (working with DWSS and veterans services)/ Workforce Investment Board</td>
<td>WIOA Implementation Planning team</td>
<td>July 1, 2016 - June 30, 2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Goal 2: Increase access to education for people experiencing or most at risk of homelessness.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal 2 Strategies</th>
<th>Lead</th>
<th>Resources</th>
<th>Needed Resources</th>
<th>Timing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.2.1 Engage the Department of Education to identify at-risk or homeless school-age youth and coordinate with the local school district to enroll 100 percent of those children in school annually.</td>
<td>Department of Education, statewide management team, and local school superintendents CoC providers, CoC leads, Title ILiaisons and state LEAs</td>
<td>Title One HOPE Youth meetings (SNV), Washoe County School District Children in Transition (CIT) Office, other school district CIT programs, Southern Nevada Regional Planning Commission (Youth Planning Group), the Nevada Partnership for Homeless Youth, UNR Head Start Office and regional Head Start Offices</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2.2 Identify at-risk or homeless children ages 0-5 and coordinate with early childhood programs and child service providers to enroll 100 percent of those</td>
<td>Department of Education, statewide management team, and local school superintendents CoC providers, CoC leads</td>
<td>Nevada Early Childhood Advisory Council</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goal 2 Strategies</td>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>Resources</td>
<td>Needed Resources</td>
<td>Timing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>children in early childhood programs annually.</td>
<td>Department of Education, statewide management team, and local school superintendents CoC providers, CoC leads</td>
<td>Title I HOPE staff, Washoe County School District Children in Transition (CIT) Office, other school district CIT programs, Southern Nevada Regional Planning Commission (Youth Planning Group), the Nevada Partnership for Homeless Youth, UNR Head Start Office and regional Head Start Offices</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2.3 Identify at-risk or homeless individuals ages 16-24 and coordinate with providers to provide opportunities for enrollment in classes or obtaining a General Educational Development (GED) degree for 100 percent of those interested individuals annually.

Goal 3: Improve access to high quality financial information, education, and counseling.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal 3 Strategies</th>
<th>Lead</th>
<th>Resources</th>
<th>Needed Resources</th>
<th>Timing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.3.1 Engage with Opportunity Alliance Nevada to identify ten (10) community or statewide organizations annually and assist them with implementing programs to increase individual’s financial capabilities.</td>
<td>Opportunity Alliance Nevada Local UnitedWay</td>
<td>WIOA Implementation Planning team</td>
<td>Creation of Evidence Based Practices Capacity Building Academy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3.2 Evaluate and research existing programs quarterly for emerging or evidence-</td>
<td>Opportunity Alliance Nevada</td>
<td>Lincy Institute</td>
<td>July 1, 2015 - June 30, 2020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Strategic Plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal 3 Strategies</th>
<th>Lead</th>
<th>Resources</th>
<th>Needed Resources</th>
<th>Timing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>based practices to implement statewide.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3.3 Create menu of safe, affordable product and services (ex. Financial literacy and banking) for employers, service providers and employees.</td>
<td>Opportunity Alliance Nevada</td>
<td>WIOA Implementation Planning team</td>
<td>Coordination between ICH and Opportunity Alliance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Strategic Issue #5 – Coordination of Primary and Behavioral Health

**Goal 1: Integrate primary and behavioral health care services with homeless assistance programs and housing to reduce people’s vulnerability to and the impacts of homelessness.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal 1 Strategies</th>
<th>Lead</th>
<th>Resources</th>
<th>Needed Resources</th>
<th>Timing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.1.1 Link housing providers with health and behavioral health care providers to co-locate and/or coordinate health, behavioral health, safety, and wellness services to create better resources for providers connecting patients to housing resources by 2018.</td>
<td>DHHS and Medicaid working with the CoCs</td>
<td>Governor’s Council on Behavioral Health and Wellness</td>
<td>Federally approvable Medicaid model for existing programs or new programs developed under Strategy 5.1.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.1.2 Expand the ability to provide services in the homes of people who have experienced homelessness including using Medicaid-funded Assertive Community Treatment Teams for those with behavioral health needs by 2018.</td>
<td>DPBH and Medicaid working with the CoCs, Regional Behavioral Health Coordinators, Regional Behavioral Health Policy Boards,</td>
<td>Training as to services currently available and any gaps that need improvement</td>
<td>Legislative approval for identified gaps</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goal 1 Strategies</td>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>Resources</td>
<td>Needed Resources</td>
<td>Timing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.1.3 Support the expansion of a “medical home” model to provide integrated care for medical and behavioral health, and to improve health and reduce health care costs in communities with the largest number of people experiencing homelessness by 2019.</td>
<td>Primary Care Office (DPBH)</td>
<td>DPBH and Medicaid working with the CoCs, FQHCs, Managed Care providers with medical homes</td>
<td>Comprehension of current case management systems, and the medical home model to determine what systems provide and which are best to utilize. Secure Medicaid budget authority and federal approval for new system development.</td>
<td>July 1, 2015 - June 30, 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.1.4 Support medical respite programs in southern and northern Nevada to allow hospitals to discharge people experiencing homelessness with complex health needs to medical respite programs that will help them transition to supportive housing by 2019.</td>
<td>DPBH and Medicaid working with the CoCs</td>
<td>Secure federal and legislative approval</td>
<td></td>
<td>July 1, 2015 - June 30, 2018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Goal 2: Increase health and housing stability for people experiencing homelessness who have frequent contact with hospitals and criminal justice.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal 2 Strategies</th>
<th>Lead</th>
<th>Resources</th>
<th>Needed Resources</th>
<th>Timing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.2.1 Improve discharge planning from hospitals, VA medical centers, psychiatric facilities, jails, and prisons to connect people to housing, health and behavioral health support, income and work supports, and health coverage prior to discharge so that no one is discharged to the streets.</td>
<td>Regional Behavioral Health Coordinators with Statewide CoC, DHHS</td>
<td>Frequent Users of Public Programs (FUSE) Project through CCSS grant (if awarded)</td>
<td>Identification of housing resources to house individuals being discharged</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.2.2 Ensure systems are in place to identify people experiencing homelessness who are most likely to end up in an emergency room, hospital, jail, or prison, and connect them to the housing and support they need to reduce admission to the above institutions.</td>
<td>Statewide CoC</td>
<td>Frequent Users of Public Programs (FUSE) Project through CCSS grant (if awarded)</td>
<td>Identification of housing resources to house individuals</td>
<td>ICH to engage systems</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Strategic Issue #6 – Coordination of Data and Resources

Goal 1: The system is integrated, streamlined, promotes data sharing and is captured consistently in HMIS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal 1 Strategies</th>
<th>Lead</th>
<th>Resources</th>
<th>Needed Resources</th>
<th>Timing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6.1.1 Work with Bitfocus to develop standards that permit data interoperability between data systems while protecting the confidentiality of all individuals by 2020.</td>
<td>DHHS coordinating with program coordinators of DPBH, Medicaid, DWSS, DCFS, DPBH Data Analytics Unit</td>
<td>Data Integration work through Homebase and SNV CoC</td>
<td></td>
<td>July 1, 2016 - June 30, 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goal 1 Strategies</td>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>Resources</td>
<td>Needed Resources</td>
<td>Timing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.1.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>DPBH Data Analytics Unit</td>
<td>ICH Staff time to coordinate quarterly meetings</td>
<td>July 1, 2017 - June 30, 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.1.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>ICH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Goal 2: Implement centralized/coordinate intake assessment and access for all housing program throughout the state for the homeless or those at risk of homelessness.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal 2 Strategies</th>
<th>Lead</th>
<th>Resources</th>
<th>Needed Resources</th>
<th>Timing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6.2.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>HMI S Steering Committee</td>
<td>Performance measures as developed by CoC’s measuring through HMIS</td>
<td>Annually</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HMI S Housing and vulnerability assessment tool</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Evaluating and Updating the Plan

The strategic plan is intended to be used as both a management and communication tool for action. It is intended to be a living document that guides the work of the ICH. To implement the plan, the ICH will establish Committees to complete the strategies within each goal area. Each Committee will include a Chair and Vice-Chair made up of members of the ICH. Each of the Committees will be responsible for tracking and reporting progress. Four workgroups will be established and report back to the ICH. They include:

- **Workgroup Coordination of Data and Resources**
- **Workgroup Coordination of Primary and Behavioral Health, and Wraparound Services**
- **Workgroup Education and Workforce Development**
- **Workgroup Housing, and Homelessness Prevention and Intervention**

Per the Executive Order, the strategic plan will be reviewed in its entirety at annually to remove strategies that have been accomplished or that no longer apply and to update the plan, revising timing and adding strategies that are identified as necessary to achieve the mission of the ICH, “lead Nevada’s efforts to prevent and end homelessness.”
Glossary

**Behavioral Health**: as a discipline refers to mental health, psychiatric, marriage and family counseling, and addictions treatment, and includes services provided by social workers, counselors, psychiatrists, psychologists, neurologists and physicians. A behavioral health disorder is a condition that is characterized by alterations in thinking, mood, or behavior (or some combination thereof), that is mediated by the brain and associated with distress and/or impaired functioning.\(^{51}\)

**Chronic Homelessness**: a chronically homeless individual is someone who has experienced homelessness for a year or longer, or who has experienced at least four episodes of homelessness in the last three years and has a disability. A family with an adult member who meets this description would also be considered chronically homeless.

**Cooperative Agreements to Benefit Homeless Individuals-States (CABHI-States)**: the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration program to enhance or develop the infrastructure of states and their treatment service systems to increase capacity to provide accessible, effective, comprehensive, coordinated/integrated, and evidence-based treatment services; permanent housing; peer supports; and other critical services for the following: veterans who experience homelessness or chronic homelessness, and other individuals (non-veterans) who experience chronic homelessness.

**Department of Health and Human Services**: The Nevada Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) promotes the health and well-being of its residents through the delivery or facilitation of a multitude of essential services to ensure families are strengthened, public health is protected, and individuals achieve their highest level of self-sufficiency. The Department is the largest in state government comprised of five Divisions along with additional programs and offices overseen by the DHHS’s Director’s Office. The Department's Interim Director, Richard Whitley, was appointed by Governor Brian Sandoval and manages nearly one-third of the state’s budget.

**Department of Employment, Training and Rehabilitation**: The Nevada Department of Employment, Training & Rehabilitation (DETR) consists of divisions that offer assistance in job training and placement, vocational rehabilitation, workplace discrimination and in collecting and analyzing workforce and economic data. Many of these services are provided through DETR's partnership with the Nevada JobConnect system.

**Department of Education**: The Nevada Department of Education (NDE)’s mission is to improve student achievement and educator effectiveness by ensuring opportunities, facilitating learning, and promoting excellence. The NDE oversees three divisions: the Business and Support Services Division, the Educator Effectiveness and Family Engagement Division, and the Student Achievement Division.

**Division of Public and Behavioral Health**: Formerly the Nevada State Health Division, the Nevada Division of Public and Behavioral Health (DPBH) was created due to the passage of Assembly Bill 488, which merged mental health and public health. Developmental Services was consolidated into the Division of Aging and Disability Services. Division operations consist of community health services, administrative services, clinical services, and regulatory and planning services.

---

**Homeless**: as defined by HUD in the Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing Act of 2009 (P.L. 111-22, Section 1003) includes:

- An individual who lacks a fixed, regular, and adequate nighttime residence;
- An individual who has a primary nighttime residence that is a public or private place not designed for or ordinarily used as a regular sleeping accommodation for human beings, including a car, park, abandoned building, bus or train station, airport, or camping ground;
- An individual or family living in a supervised publicly or privately operated shelter designated to provide temporary living arrangements (including hotels and motels paid for by Federal, State or local government programs for low-income individuals or by charitable organizations, congregate shelters, and transitional housing);
- An individual who resided in a shelter or place not meant for human habitation and who is exiting an institution where he or she temporarily resided;
- An individual or family who will imminently lose their housing [as evidenced by a court order resulting from an eviction action that notifies the individual or family that they must leave within 14 days, having a primary nighttime residence that is a room in a hotel or motel and where they lack the resources necessary to reside there for more than 14 days, or credible evidence indicating that the owner or renter of the housing will not allow the individual or family to stay for more than 14 days, and any oral statement from an individual or family seeking homeless assistance that is found to be credible shall be considered credible evidence for purposes of this clause]; has no subsequent residence identified; and lacks the resources or support networks needed to obtain other permanent housing; and
- Unaccompanied youth and homeless families with children and youth defined as homeless under other Federal statutes who have experienced a long-term period without living independently in permanent housing, have experienced persistent instability as measured by frequent moves over such period, and can be expected to continue in such status for an extended period of time because of chronic disabilities, chronic physical health or mental health conditions, substance addiction, histories of domestic violence or childhood abuse, the presence of a child or youth with a disability, or multiple barriers to employment.

**Mainstream resources**: Mainstream resources are federal and state benefit service programs that offer a wide range of supports to meet basic needs, such as housing, employment, income, child care, food, health, and mental health. To use these programs, people must qualify based on criteria, such as income, disability, and family composition. Medicaid and Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) are the two largest mainstream programs that can help homeless individuals. Other examples of mainstream programs important to homeless individuals and families include nutrition programs like the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP), and Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC), health and mental health programs (Community Health Centers and Medicare), Supplemental Security Income (SSI), employment supports from Workforce Investment Act programs, and housing subsidy programs (public housing and Housing Choice Vouchers).\(^{52}\)

---

Nevada’s Interagency Council on Homelessness: established via Executive Order 2013-20 to coordinate and focus the State's efforts to effectively address the challenge of homelessness in the State of Nevada. The Council provides the opportunity for Nevada to engage in an integrated approach regarding the issue of homelessness and promote interagency cooperation. The Council works to increase the awareness of homeless issues among state and local government agencies and local organizations that provide services to people who are homeless.

Social Security Disability Insurance (SSDI): SSDI pays benefits to individuals and certain members of the individual’s family if they are insured (meaning they have worked long enough and paid Social Security taxes).

Supplemental Security Income (SSI): the SSI program pays benefits to disabled adults and children who have limited income and resources. SSI benefits also are payable to people 65 and older without disabilities who meet the financial limits. People who have worked long enough may also be able to receive Social Security disability or retirement benefits as well as SSI.

Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF): the TANF program is designed to help needy families achieve self-sufficiency. States receive block grants to design and operate programs that accomplish one of the purposes of the TANF program.

Transition Age Youth: transition age youth are those individuals between the ages of 18 to 24. They are also referred to as “youth in transition.”

Unaccompanied Youth: HUD defines unaccompanied youth as any person under the age of 18 who receives homeless services or are counted as unsheltered who are not with their legal guardian(s).
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